

Security By Way of a Lien
HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361

MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State


## To dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk

Our Reference: HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361
Dear MISS dawn butler.

We have noted as of this day the 12 December 2022 that there has been no response to our previous correspondence and to that effect there is a formal and binding agreement to the following effect.

## Security by way of lien Number: HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361

## Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact

1. I, Baroness Yvonne of the House of Hobbs (being the undersigned), do solemnly swear, declare, and depose:
2. That I am competent to state the matters herein and that I do take oath and swear that the matters herein are accurate, correct, honest, and true as contained within this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact.
3. That I am herein stating the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and that these truths stand as fact till another can provide the material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance to the contrary.
4. That I fully and completely comprehend that before any charges can be brought, it must be first proved, by presenting the material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance to support the facts, that the charges are valid and have substance that can be shown to have a foundation in fact.
5. That I have first-hand knowledge of the facts stated herein.
6. That all the facts stated herein are accurate, correct, honest, and true, and are admissible as material evidence, and that if I am called upon as a witness, that I will testify to their veracity.
7. That the eternal, unchanged principals of truth are as follows:
a) All are equal and are free by natural descent.
b) Truth is factual and not subjective to belief, which is nothing of any material, physical, or tangible substance in fact.
c) An un-rebutted Affidavit stands as the truth and fact.
d) An un-rebutted Affidavit is the documented fact and truth on and for the record.
e) All matters must be expressed to be resolved.
f) He who does not rebut the Affidavit agrees to it by default.
g) He who does anything by another's hand is culpable for the actions of the other's hand.
h) A security by way of a lien is, first and foremost, an agreement between the parties, as there is no disagreement between the parties.
I) That he who stands as surety, by providing the security by way of a lien, stands in honour, as that surety is undertaken by agreement, without coercion, duress, or protest, and without the threat of harm, loss, or injury, and, as such, stands in honour for the harm, loss, or injury by their own hand.
8. That a security by way of a lien, which is a commercial process (including this Affidavit), is non-judicial and pre-judicial, and:
a. That no judge, court, government, or any agencies thereof, or any third parties whatsoever, can abrogate anyone's Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, and;
b. That only a party affected by an Affidavit can speak and act for himself and is solely responsible for responding with his own Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which no one else can do for him, where there is material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance in fact, which definitively is a firm foundation to rebut the rebutted affidavit.
9. That these facts, which form the main body of this Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, are as follows, and that the material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance to support these facts is provided as exhibits and material, physical, and tangible evidence and substance as a foundation of these facts.
10. It is now on and for the record as of the 12 December 2022 that this is a formal agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that and MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has agreed to stand as a surety for a security by the way of a lien for the restoration for the criminal offences of fraud and malfeasance in the office of HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State.

11. That there is a formal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that the claim made by MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that there is a legal and legitimate government is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by misrepresentation and carries a term of incarceration of seven (7) to ten (10) years and the latter where there is multiple instances of AND that there is a formal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has formally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
12. That there is a formal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that the above noted and formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation is also malfeasance by MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years (25) which is accumulative AND that there is a formal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has formally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
13. That there is a formal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that the above noted and formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance by MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State is a recognised and demonstrated intention to cause distress and alarm which is also a wilful and belligerent act of terrorism AND that there is a formal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has formally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
14. These are very serious crimes MISS dawn butler and under current state legislation there is a cumulative period of incarceration in excess of 150 years'. We would not wish to encumber the public purse for the costs of this incarceration as the public purse can ill afford this financial encumbrance. There is however an alternative and recognised process as suitable agreed remedy.
15. As there is now an agreement between the parties, as MISS dawn butler has already agreed to the crime then we elect to charge you under this agreement. As the crime was committed against ourselves then we reserve the right to choose the remedy for these crimes.
16. Where there is a crime then there is a requirement for a remedy otherwise the crime goes unresolved. As we now have an obligation to bring this crime to resolution we therefore have given MISS dawn butler an opportunity to resolve and MISS dawn butler has elected to stand as surety.

## Surety and security by way of an agreed lien

1. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MISS dawn butler (Claimant) that there is a Government is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence then we will elect to formally charge MISS dawn butleracting in the capacity of Member of Parliament Five Million Pounds GBP
2. For the formally agreed criminal offence of Malfeasance in the office where MISS dawn butler (Claimant) acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament has agreed to this criminal offence of malfeasance in the office. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence then we elect to formally charge MISS dawn butler (Claimant) acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament. Five Million Pounds GBP
3. For the formally agreed criminal offence of a wilful intent to cause distress and alarm which is a recognised and demonstrated wilful act of terrorism. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence then we will elect to formally charge MISS dawn butler acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament. Five Million Pounds GBP
4. In accordance with the traditions of this land, and as this is a lien, this will be published in all the necessary places.

5. Ignorance is no defence for committing criminal acts. Considering the position that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State holds that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State should have shown more diligence and accountability in the office. It is an agreed fact and due to the severity of the most grievous agreed criminal offences, that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State is no longer a fit and proper person to hold any trusted position in service in the office.
6. It can also be considered that since these most grievous agreed criminal offences have been committed in the office of HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State which is detrimental to the function and the interests of HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State and that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has acted in an ultra vires capacity in the position as Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State and without the legal authority to do so, it can be concluded that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State could be held culpable for their actions as not in the best interests of HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State and constitutes an enemy of the State.
7. Let it be known on and for the record that MISS dawn butler is no longer a fit and proper person to be in a position of trust.
8. Let it be known on and for the record that due to the compartmentalisation and lack of full disclosure by executive officers of HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State may have had no knowledge that his actions were criminal and ultra vires in nature.
9. Let it be known on and for the record that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has chosen, of their own free will, to stand as surety for a security by the way of a lien to the amount of fifteen million pounds GBP $£ 15,000,000.00 \mathrm{GBP}$ ).
10. From the Exhibit (F) in the House of Ward Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact, which is on and for the record, it is noted that the Great British Pound ( $£$ ) legal tender or fiat currency, whichever term is used, is representative of confidence, faith, and belief, so this surety for a security by way of a lien is equal to fifteen million pounds ( $£ 15,000,000.00$ ) GBP of confidence, faith, and belief.
11. Let it be known on and for the record that confidence, faith, and belief is nothing of any material, physical, or tangible evidence or substance in fact.
12. Let it be known on and for the record that since MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State has agreed to this remedy of their own free will, in full knowledge and understanding, without coercion or deception, and without threat of harm, loss, or injury, that MISS dawn butler (Claimant in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State stands in honour, and his dignity is restored by their own hand in the community regarding this matter.

Silence creates a binding agreement.
So let it be said. So let it be written. So let it be done.
Without ill will or vexation.



Exhibit (A)

Material evidence of claim by MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State. and


To: dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk
Our Reference: HOH --dawn butler --HOHO361
Dear mISS dawn butler,

We would bring your attention to the attached Affidavit which was served upon the 657 MP's and officers of government on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2015 which is also a Published and Public Affidavit by way of a public declaration on the $28^{\text {th }}$ Day of March 2015 which is also published here.
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/files/ and we note that mISS dawn butler was one of the 657 recipients by email of the same Affidavit on the $4^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2020.

We would note to MISS dawn butler that the legal function of an Affidavit is that when the content of an Affidavit has not been legally redressed or proven to be incorrect, then the legal function of an Affidavit is a formal and legal process to create legal and indisputable legal agreement to the details and content of the Affidavit, which is the legal function of an Affidavit to create this legal and binding agreement.

We would draw mISS dawn butler's attention again to Exhibit "C" in this Affidavit where it has been confirmed by none less than Chandran Kukathas PHD of the London School of Economics. That a State is a Company and as a Company a State is no different to McDonalds. http://www.academia.edu/12226898/A Definition of the State We would also note to mISS dawn butler that this is also doctrine and can be cited and referenced by any law degree student for his theses.

We would also note to miss dawn butler in the same Exhibit "C" That is has been confirmed by the Lord Chief Justice. The Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA in the same year of 2008 that the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA confirmed that the office of the Judiciary is a sub office of the same State/Company and this is also a Published Fact on the Judiciary website and is therefore a Confirmed and indisputable Fact. https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-
content/uploads/JCO/Documents/Speeches/beatsonj040608.pdf
"The 2003 changes and the new responsibilities given to the Lord Chief Justice necessitated a certain amount of reexamination of the relationship between the judiciary and the two stronger branches of the state-the executive and the legislature."

We would also note to MISS dawn butler that and it has been confirmed by the Lord Chief Justice that this places every member and officer in the executive office of the company outside of the jurisdictional control of the office of the Judiciary and that all executive officers including Ministerial extensions to the executive office have complete impunity for any criminal offence they choose or wish to commit and this is now becoming widely known among the people of the UK.

We would also bring to MISS dawn butler's attention to Exhibit " B " in the same formally agreed 657 Affidavits as of 2015 that there was a formal case at a Tribunal recognised by the same Company office where there was a claim made under the Traffic management Act 2004 and that it was found that and correctly by adjudication that Mr David Ward has no liability under the Traffic management Act 2004 for the very simple reason that the circa 64.1 Million people in the UK have never once in 800 years formally agreed to be governed and legally signed the legal "Consent of the governed" and without this Legal consent then none of the Act's and Statutes or legislations of the company have any valid legality and are by default totally illegal and criminal which constitutes criminal fraud and we would also note to MISS dawn butler that as a result of these legal proceedings at a company recognised Tribunal that there was also a signed and Legal Declaration of NO CONTEST which is legally signed by the company office as a formal agreement to the Facts.


We would further draw MISS dawn butler's attention to further legal proceedings where this Affidavit and the 657 formal agreements to this same Affidavit has been repeatedly used as foundation in fact with $100 \%$ success inclusive of judges in the company office of the Judiciary of the same company and these are also formally published securitised commercial Liens here.

## Judges

District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292888400779314/ District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292886904112797/ District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-003 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292876174113870/ District Judge HOW-GRAY-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292868254114662/ District Judge HOW-FITSGERALD-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292863800781774/ HOW-WOODWARD-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292862800781874/ HOW-MASHEDER-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292861584115329/ HOW-BUCKLEY-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292859867448834/

MP
HOW-FB-LIEN-0001 Fiona Bruce MP https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975342105867280/ HOW-FB-LIEN-0002. Fiona Bruce MP https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975347322533425/

Lawyers
HOW-HAMLINS-RICHARD-PULL-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224986927569462/ HOW-HAMLINS-NEIL-THOMAS-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224986224236199/ HOW-HAMLINS-MATTHEW-PRYKE-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224985000902988/ HOW-HAMLINS-DANIEL-BELLAU-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224984310903057/ HOW-HAMLINS-CHARLESBEZZANT-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224979950903493/ HOW-HAMLINS-ASELLEDJUMABAEVAWOOD-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224977054237116/
HOW-HAMLINS-CHARLOTTEALLAN-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224981397570015/
HOW-CN-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975318689202955/
HOW-CN-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975319459202878/
HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996374820430675/
HOW-JOHN WHITE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996373987097425/
HOW-C-ANTHISTLE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996371597097664/
HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856315541103271/

Warrant of arrest.
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975354235866067/
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996369447097879/
Bailiff
HOW-LIEN-MRWN-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/941730645895093/ HOW-MRKM-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975368822531275/ HOW-LIEN- MRMD-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/939301839471307/ HOW-LIEN-MRWN-0000002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1996362483765232/ HOW-LIEN-MRKN-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/941729395895218/

HOW-MSSW-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975354499199374/ HOW-MSSW-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975361325865358/ HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/830179827050176/ HOW-SR-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975370629197761/ HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856318257769666/ HOW-JUMC-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856321777769314/

Parking ticket.
HOW-CEO-084-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856322781102547/
HOW-CEO-203-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856324024435756/
We would note to MISS dawn butler that the words Obligation and Liability do not, and cannot exist outside of a formal and legally agreed contract and this fact has been formally agreed in the fact that Mr David Ward has no Liability under the Traffic Management Act 2004—the formal and legally signed declaration of NO CONTEST is definitive and absolute legal proof and agreement of this FACT.

We would further note to MISS dawn butler that there can be no legal representation unless there has been a formal and legal transfer of power of Attorney and without this legal and signed transfer of power of attorney any re-presentation would also be illegal and criminal conclusively. It is therefore legally impossible for there to be a legal and legitimate Government and this has been true for 800 years. It is therefore a FACT mISS dawn butler that there has never once in the 800 years been a legal and legitimate Government to represent the current day circa 64.1 million people. In the absence of these current day, missing and legally signed 64.1 MILLION legally transferred powers of Attorney then no legal representation can be made.

This is all definitive and absolute legal proof of the Fact that a general election by way of a SECRET Ballot has always been illegal and does not constitute any legal transfer of power of authority in any way.

We would also note to mISS dawn butler that all Tax in all its forms is criminal fraud. None of the circa 64.1 million people has any legal obligations to pay tax in any form. More to the point, in economics when what we pay tax for also pays Tax then there is no return Path for the Tax cash back into economy and there is Billions in Tax which just gets flushed down some cash black hole because of the absence of an economic return path for the Billions in Tax Cash. Economic austerity is a planned and executed Poverty with now 300,000 or more homeless in the UK as a direct result of being Taxed beyond economic capabilities to sustain. The economists in office need to go back to university to get their fees back. This level of stupidity is unconscionable-unless of course this is wilfully planned and executed and we fail to see how this can be otherwise.

It is a Maxim and a Maxim is called a Maxim as they are Maxims in FACTS. He who makes a claim carries the obligation to present the material evidence of the claim. The very existence of a State/Company which as a company is no different to McDonalds and there is a position of Member of Parliament or CEO of that company which is no different to McDonalds is the very evidence that there is a claim. The very existence of MISS dawn butler's email address is indisputable evidence in fact of that claim.

He who makes a claim carries the FORMAL and Legal Obligation to present the foundation in evidence of that claim.

It is therefore a noted obligation for MISS dawn butler to present the material evidence to support this claim in one of two forms.

1. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal transferred the legal power of Attorney where the circa 64.1 million people can be legally represented by a government. OR.
2. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal "Consent to be governed" which would be representative of a commercial agreement where there can be contractual legal Obligations or Liabilities under the Act's and Statute's and legislation in accordance with the formally agreed and legally signed Agreement to the facts and with the Legal and signed declaration of NO CONTEST to that legal effect.

Failure to present this legally obligated evidence in fact will enter mISS dawn butler in to a lasting and legally binding agreement with the following effect.

1. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and mISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the claim that there is a legal and legitimate government is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by misrepresentation and carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter, where there is multiple instances of, is a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
2. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and mISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation is also formal and criminal malfeasance in the office which carries a

term of incarceration of twenty five years (Life) which is also a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and mISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that mISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
3. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and mISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office is a demonstrated and wilful intention to cause distress and alarm which is also a demonstrated wilful and belligerent act of terrorism AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and mISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that mISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.

So let it be said. So let it be written. So let it be done. Without ill will or vexation.

For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MRS YVONNE HOBBS.
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Hobbs . For and on behalf of Baroness Yvonne of the House of Hobbs .

All rights reserved.



To: dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk
Our Reference: HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361
Dear mISS dawn butler
We have noted as of this date the 14 November 2022 that there has been no legal response to our previous correspondence dated the 07 November 2022 and that there is now a formal agreement due the absence of any valid material legal evidence. In the interest of candour we have elected to extend by another seven days. In the interests of clarity we repeat the same here.

Without ill will or vexation.

Dear mISS dawn butler
We would bring your attention to the attached Affidavit which was served upon the 657 MP's and officers of government on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2015 which is also a Published and Public Affidavit by way of a public declaration on the $28^{\text {th }}$ Day of March 2015 which is also published here.
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/files/ and we note that MISS dawn butler was one of the 657 recipients by email of the same Affidavit on the $4^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2020.

We would note to MISS dawn butler that the legal function of an Affidavit is that when the content of an Affidavit has not been legally redressed or proven to be incorrect, then the legal function of an Affidavit is a formal and legal process to create legal and indisputable legal agreement to the details and content of the Affidavit, which is the legal function of an Affidavit to create this legal and binding agreement.

We would draw mISS dawn butler's attention again to Exhibit "C" in this Affidavit where it has been confirmed by none less than Chandran Kukathas PHD of the London School of Economics. That a State is a Company and as a Company a State is no different to McDonalds. http://www.academia.edu/12226898/A Definition of the State We would also note to miss dawn butler that this is also doctrine and can be cited and referenced by any law degree student for his theses.

We would also note to mISS dawn butler in the same Exhibit "C" That is has been confirmed by the Lord Chief Justice. The Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA in the same year of 2008 that the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA confirmed that the office of the Judiciary is a sub office of the same State/Company and this is also a Published Fact on the Judiciary website and is therefore a Confirmed and indisputable Fact. https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-
content/uploads/JCO/Documents/Speeches/beatsonj040608.pdf
"The 2003 changes and the new responsibilities given to the Lord Chief Justice necessitated a certain amount of reexamination of the relationship between the judiciary and the two stronger branches of the state-the executive and the legislature."

We would also note to MISS dawn butler that and it has been confirmed by the Lord Chief Justice that this places every member and officer in the executive office of the company outside of the jurisdictional control of the office of the Judiciary and that all executive officers including Ministerial extensions to the executive office have complete impunity for any criminal offence they choose or wish to commit and this is now becoming widely known among the people of the UK.


We would also bring to MISS dawn butler's attention to Exhibit " $B$ " in the same formally agreed 657 Affidavits as of 2015 that there was a formal case at a Tribunal recognised by the same Company office where there was a claim made under the Traffic management Act 2004 and that it was found that and correctly by adjudication that Mr David Ward has no liability under the Traffic management Act 2004 for the very simple reason that the circa 64.1 Million people in the UK have never once in 800 years formally agreed to be governed and legally signed the legal "Consent of the governed" and without this Legal consent then none of the Act's and Statutes or legislations of the company have any valid legality and are by default totally illegal and criminal which constitutes criminal fraud and we would also note to MISS dawn butler that as a result of these legal proceedings at a company recognised Tribunal that there was also a signed and Legal Declaration of NO CONTEST which is legally signed by the company office as a formal agreement to the Facts.

We would further draw MISS dawn butler's attention to further legal proceedings where this Affidavit and the 657 formal agreements to this same Affidavit has been repeatedly used as foundation in fact with $100 \%$ success inclusive of judges in the company office of the Judiciary of the same company and these are also formally published securitised commercial Liens here.

## Judges

District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292888400779314/ District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292886904112797/ District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-003 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292876174113870/ District Judge HOW-GRAY-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292868254114662/ District Judge HOW-FITSGERALD-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292863800781774/ HOW-WOODWARD-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292862800781874/ HOW-MASHEDER-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292861584115329/ HOW-BUCKLEY-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292859867448834/

MP
HOW-FB-LIEN-0001 Fiona Bruce MP https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975342105867280/ HOW-FB-LIEN-0002. Fiona Bruce MP https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975347322533425/

Lawyers
HOW-HAMLINS-RICHARD-PULL-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224986927569462/
HOW-HAMLINS-NEIL-THOMAS-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224986224236199/
HOW-HAMLINS-MATTHEW-PRYKE-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/12249850000902988/
HOW-HAMLINS-DANIEL-BELLAU-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224984310903057/ HOW-HAMLINS-CHARLESBEZZANT-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224979950903493/ HOW-HAMLINS-ASELLEDJUMABAEVAWOOD-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224977054237116/ HOW-HAMLINS-CHARLOTTEALLAN-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224981397570015/
HOW-CN-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975318689202955/
HOW-CN-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975319459202878/
HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996374820430675/
HOW-JOHN WHITE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996373987097425/
HOW-C-ANTHISTLE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996371597097664/
HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856315541103271/
Warrant of arrest.
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/9753542358666067/
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996369447097879/
Bailiff
HOW-LIEN-MRWN-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/941730645895093/ HOW-MRKM-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975368822531275/ HOW-LIEN- MRMD-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/939301839471307/ HOW-LIEN-MRWN-0000002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1996362483765232/ HOW-LIEN-MRKN-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/941729395895218/

HOW-MSSW-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975354499199374/ HOW-MSSW-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975361325865358/ HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/830179827050176/ HOW-SR-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975370629197761/
HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856318257769666/


Parking ticket.
HOW-CEO-084-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856322781102547/
HOW-CEO-203-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856324024435756/
We would note to MISS dawn butler that the words Obligation and Liability do not, and cannot exist outside of a formal and legally agreed contract and this fact has been formally agreed in the fact that Mr David Ward has no Liability under the Traffic Management Act 2004—the formal and legally signed declaration of NO CONTEST is definitive and absolute legal proof and agreement of this FACT.

We would further note to MISS dawn butler that there can be no legal representation unless there has been a formal and legal transfer of power of Attorney and without this legal and signed transfer of power of attorney any re-presentation would also be illegal and criminal conclusively. It is therefore legally impossible for there to be a legal and legitimate Government and this has been true for 800 years. It is therefore a FACT MISS dawn butler that there has never once in the 800 years been a legal and legitimate Government to represent the current day circa 64.1 million people. In the absence of these current day, missing and legally signed 64.1 MILLION legally transferred powers of Attorney then no legal representation can be made.

This is all definitive and absolute legal proof of the Fact that a general election by way of a SECRET Ballot has always been illegal and does not constitute any legal transfer of power of authority in any way.

We would also note to MISS dawn butler that all Tax in all its forms is criminal fraud. None of the circa 64.1 million people has any legal obligations to pay tax in any form. More to the point, in economics when what we pay tax for also pays Tax then there is no return Path for the Tax cash back into economy and there is Billions in Tax which just gets flushed down some cash black hole because of the absence of an economic return path for the Billions in Tax Cash. Economic austerity is a planned and executed Poverty with now 300,000 or more homeless in the UK as a direct result of being Taxed beyond economic capabilities to sustain. The economists in office need to go back to university to get their fees back. This level of stupidity is unconscionable-unless of course this is wilfully planned and executed and we fail to see how this can be otherwise.

It is a Maxim and a Maxim is called a Maxim as they are Maxims in FACTS. He who makes a claim carries the obligation to present the material evidence of the claim. The very existence of a State/Company which as a company is no different to McDonalds and there is a position of Member of Parliament or CEO of that company which is no different to McDonalds is the very evidence that there is a claim. The very existence of MISS dawn butler's email address is indisputable evidence in fact of that claim.

He who makes a claim carries the FORMAL and Legal Obligation to present the foundation in evidence of that claim.

It is therefore a noted obligation for MISS dawn butler to present the material evidence to support this claim in one of two forms.

1. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal transferred the legal power of Attorney where the circa 64.1 million people can be legally represented by a government. OR.
2. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal "Consent to be governed" which would be representative of a commercial agreement where there can be contractual legal Obligations or Liabilities under the Act's and Statute's and legislation in accordance with the formally agreed and legally signed Agreement to the facts and with the Legal and signed declaration of NO CONTEST to that legal effect.

Failure to present this legally obligated evidence in fact will enter MISS dawn butler in to a lasting and legally binding agreement with the following effect.

1. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the claim that there is a

legal and legitimate government is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by misrepresentation and carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter, where there is multiple instances of, is a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
2. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation is also formal and criminal malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years (Life) which is also a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
3. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office is a demonstrated and wilful intention to cause distress and alarm which is also a demonstrated wilful and belligerent act of terrorism AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.

So let it be said. So let it be written. So let it be done. Without ill will or vexation.


To: dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk
Our Reference: HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361

## Dear MISS dawn butler

We have noted as of this date the 21 November 2022 that there has been no legal response to our previous correspondence dated the 07 November 2022 and 14 November 2022 respectively. There is now a formal agreement due the absence of any valid material legal evidence. In the interest of candour we have elected to extend the previous seven days by another seven days. In the interests of clarity we repeat the same here.

Without ill will or vexation.

Dear MISS dawn butler
We would bring your attention to the attached Affidavit which was served upon the 657 MP 's and officers of government on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2015 which is also a Published and Public Affidavit by way of a public declaration on the $28^{\text {th }}$ Day of March 2015 which is also published here. https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/files/and we note that MISS dawn butler was one of the 657 recipients by email of the same Affidavit on the $4^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2020.

We would note to MISS dawn butler that the legal function of an Affidavit is that when the content of an Affidavit has not been legally redressed or proven to be incorrect, then the legal function of an Affidavit is a formal and legal process to create legal and indisputable legal agreement to the details and content of the Affidavit, which is the legal function of an Affidavit to create this legal and binding agreement.

We would draw MISS dawn butler's attention again to Exhibit " $C$ " in this Affidavit where it has been confirmed by none less than Chandran Kukathas PHD of the London School of Economics. That a State is a Company and as a Company a State is no different to McDonalds. http://www.academia.edu/12226898/A Definition of the State We would also note to MISS dawn butler that this is also doctrine and can be cited and referenced by any law degree student for his theses.

We would also note to MISS dawn butler in the same Exhibit "C" That is has been confirmed by the Lord Chief Justice. The Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA in the same year of 2008 that the Rt. Hon. Lord Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA confirmed that the office of the Judiciary is a sub office of the same State/Company and this is also a Published Fact on the Judiciary website and is therefore a Confirmed and indisputable Fact.
https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/JCO/Documents/Speeches/beatsonj040608.pdf
"The 2003 changes and the new responsibilities given to the Lord Chief Justice necessitated a certain amount of reexamination of the relationship between the judiciary and the two stronger branches of the state-the executive and the legislature."

We would also note to MISS dawn butler that and it has been confirmed by the Lord Chief Justice that this places every member and officer in the executive office of the company outside of the jurisdictional control of the office of the Judiciary and that all executive officers including Ministerial extensions to the executive office have complete impunity for any criminal offence they choose or wish to commit and this is now becoming widely known among the people of the UK.

We would also bring to MISS dawn butler's attention to Exhibit "B" in the same formally agreed 657 Affidavits as of 2015 that there was a formal case at a Tribunal recognised by the same Company office where there was a claim made under the Traffic management Act 2004 and that it was found that and correctly by adjudication that Mr David Ward has no liability under the Traffic management Act 2004 for the very simple reason that the circa 64.1 Million people in the UK have never once in 800 years formally agreed to be governed and legally signed the legal "Consent of the governed" and without this Legal consent then none of the Act's and Statutes or legislations of the company have any valid legality and are by default totally illegal and criminal which constitutes criminal fraud and we would also note to MISS dawn butler that as a result of these legal proceedings at a company recognised Tribunal that there was also a signed and Legal Declaration of NO
CONTEST which is legally signed by the company office as a formal agreement to the Facts.
We would further draw MISS dawn butler's attention to further legal proceedings where this Affidavit and the 657 formal agreements to this same Affidavit has been repeatedly used as foundation in fact with $100 \%$ success inclusive of judges in

the company office of the Judiciary of the same company and these are also formally published securitised commercial Liens here.

Judges
District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292888400779314/
District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-002
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292886904112797/
District Judge HOW-LATEEF-LIEN-003
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292876174113870/
District Judge HOW-GRAY-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292868254114662/
District Judge HOW-FITSGERALD-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292863800781774/
HOW-WOODWARD-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292862800781874/ HOW-MASHEDER-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292861584115329/ HOW-BUCKLEY-LIEN-001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1292859867448834/

MP
HOW-FB-LIEN-0001 Fiona Bruce MP
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975342105867280/
HOW-FB-LIEN-0002. Fiona Bruce MP
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975347322533425/
Lawyers
HOW-HAMLINS-RICHARD-PULL-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224986927569462/ HOW-HAMLINS-NEIL-THOMAS-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224986224236199/
HOW-HAMLINS-MATTHEW-PRYKE-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224985000902988/ HOW-HAMLINS-DANIEL-BELLAU-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224984310903057/ HOW-HAMLINS-CHARLESBEZZANT-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224979950903493/ HOW-HAMLINS-ASELLEDJUMABAEVAWOOD-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224977054237116/ HOW-HAMLINS-CHARLOTTEALLAN-LIEN-001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1224981397570015/
HOW-CN-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975318689202955/
HOW-CN-LIEN-0002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975319459202878/
HOW-MROWENS-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996374820430675/
HOW-JOHN WHITE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996373987097425/ HOW-C-ANTHISTLE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996371597097664/ HOW-MRTD-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856315541103271/

Warrant of arrest.
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0001
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975354235866067/
HOW-HMCTS-ACALLISTER-LIEN-0002
https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/996369447097879/
Bailiff
HOW-LIEN-MRWN-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/941730645895093/ HOW-MRKM-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975368822531275/ HOW-LIEN- MRMD-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/939301839471307/ HOW-LIEN-MRWN-0000002 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/1996362483765232/ HOW-LIEN-MRKN-0000001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/941729395895218/


HOW-SPYE-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/830179827050176/
HOW-SR-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/975370629197761/
HOW-LAS-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856318257769666/
HOW-JUMC-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856321777769314/
Parking ticket.
HOW-CEO-084-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856322781102547/
HOW-CEO-203-LIEN-0001 https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/permalink/856324024435756/
We would note to MISS dawn butler that the words Obligation and Liability do not, and cannot exist outside of a formal and legally agreed contract and this fact has been formally agreed in the fact that Mr David Ward has no Liability under the Traffic Management Act 2004-the formal and legally signed declaration of NO CONTEST is definitive and absolute legal proof and agreement of this FACT.

We would further note to MISS dawn butler that there can be no legal representation unless there has been a formal and legal transfer of power of Attorney and without this legal and signed transfer of power of attorney any re-presentation would also be illegal and criminal conclusively. It is therefore legally impossible for there to be a legal and legitimate Government and this has been true for 800 years. It is therefore a FACT MISS dawn butler that there has never once in the 800 years been a legal and legitimate Government to represent the current day circa 64.1 million people. In the absence of these current day, missing and legally signed 64.1 MILLION legally transferred powers of Attorney then no legal representation can be made.

This is all definitive and absolute legal proof of the Fact that a general election by way of a SECRET Ballot has always been illegal and does not constitute any legal transfer of power of authority in any way.

We would also note to MISS dawn butler that all Tax in all its forms is criminal fraud. None of the circa 64.1 million people has any legal obligations to pay tax in any form. More to the point, in economics when what we pay tax for also pays Tax then there is no return Path for the Tax cash back into economy and there is Billions in Tax which just gets flushed down some cash black hole because of the absence of an economic return path for the Billions in Tax Cash. Economic austerity is a planned and executed Poverty with now 300,000 or more homeless in the UK as a direct result of being Taxed beyond economic capabilities to sustain. The economists in office need to go back to university to get their fees back. This level of stupidity is unconscionable-unless of course this is wilfully planned and executed and we fail to see how this can be otherwise.

It is a Maxim and a Maxim is called a Maxim as they are Maxims in FACTS. He who makes a claim carries the obligation to present the material evidence of the claim. The very existence of a State/Company which as a company is no different to McDonalds and there is a position of Member of Parliament or CEO of that company which is no different to McDonalds is the very evidence that there is a claim. The very existence of MISS dawn butler's email address is indisputable evidence in fact of that claim.

He who makes a claim carries the FORMAL and Legal Obligation to present the foundation in evidence of that claim.
It is therefore a noted obligation for MISS dawn butler to present the material evidence to support this claim in one of two forms.

1. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal transferred the legal power of Attorney where the circa 64.1 million people can be legally represented by a government. OR.
2. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal "Consent to be governed" which would be representative of a commercial agreement where there can be contractual legal Obligations or Liabilities under the Act's and Statute's and legislation in accordance with the formally agreed and legally signed Agreement to the facts and with the Legal and signed declaration of NO CONTEST to that legal effect.

Failure to present this legally obligated evidence in fact will enter MISS dawn butler in to a lasting and legally binding agreement with the following effect.

1. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the claim that there is a legal and legitimate government is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by misrepresentation and carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter, where there is multiple instances of, is a chargeable criminal

offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
2. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation is also formal and criminal malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years (Life) which is also a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
3. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office is a demonstrated and wilful intention to cause distress and alarm which is also a demonstrated wilful and belligerent act of terrorism AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.

So let it be said. So let it be written. So let it be done.
Without ill will or vexation.
For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MRS YVONNE HOBBS.
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Hobbs.
For and on behalf of Baroness Yvonne of the House of Hobbs .
All rights reserved.



## Exhibit (B)

## Opportunity to resolve

and

Notice of Default.

To: dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk
Our Reference: HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361
Dear MISS dawn butler
We have noted as of this date the 28 November 2022 that there has been no response to our previous correspondence dated the 07 November 2022, 14 November 2022 and 21 November 2022 respectively. There is now a formal agreement due to the absence of any valid material legal evidence. We note once again that all correspondence will be kept on file pending future legal action.
If there is a crime to be redressed, then it is important to comprehend the full extent of the crime before a solution or a remedy can be executed. You MISS dawn butler have already been instrumental in this remedy, as you have provided vital material evidence which is a part of the solution or remedy. For this material evidence, we thank you.

This may not be evident at first, but the solution or remedy will benefit all including yourself. Complex matters have complex solutions, we can assure you that this solution is complex and these complexities may not be comprehended at first.

In the interests of candour and clarity:
It is a maxim of the rule of law that he/she who brings a claim has the obligation to provide the material substance of that claim. Otherwise, the claim is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office. In addition to this an act of force where there is no material evidence and substance to a valid claim is also an act of force and an act of terrorism.

We would further note to MISS dawn butler that there can be no legal representation unless there has been a formal and legal transfer of power of Attorney has been legally transferred and without this legal and signed transfer of power of attorney any representation would also be illegal and criminal conclusively. It is therefore legally impossible for there to be a legal and legitimate Government and this has been true for 800 years. It is there for a FACT MISS dawn butler that there has never once in the 800 years been a legal and legitimate Government to represent the current day circa 64.1 million people. In the absence of these circa current day missing and legally signed 64.1 MILLION legally transferred powers of Attorney. Then No legal representation can be made.

This is all definitive and absolute legal proof of the Fact that a general election by way of a SECRET Ballot has always been illegal and does not constitute any legal transfer of power of authority in any way.

We have previously noted to MISS dawn butler that all Tax in all its forms is criminal fraud. None of the circa 64.1 million people current day has any legal obligations to pay Tax in any form. More to the point in economics when what we pay tax for also pays Tax then there is no return Path for the Tax cash back into economics and there is Billions in Tax which just gets flushed down some cash black hole because there is no economic return path for the Billions in Tax Cash. Economic austerity is a planned and executed Poverty with now 300,000 or more Homeless in the UK as a direct result of being Taxed beyond economic capabilities to sustain. The economists in your office need to go back to university to get their fees back. This level of stupidity is unconscionable. Unless of course this is wilfully planned and executed, and we fail to see how this cannot be otherwise.
It is a Maxim, and a Maxim is called a Maxim as they are Maxims in FACTS. He who makes a claim carries the obligation to present the material evidence of the claim. The very existence of a State/Company which as a company is no different to McDonalds and there is a position of Member of Parliament or CEO of that company which is no different to McDonalds is the very evidence that there is a claim. The very existence of MISS dawn butler's email address is indisputable evidence in fact of that claim.

He who makes a claim carries the FORMAL and Legal Obligation to present the foundation in evidence of that claim.
It is therefore a noted obligation for MISS dawn butler to present the material evidence to support this claim in one of two forms.

1. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal transferred the legal power of Attorney where the circa 64.1 million people can be legally represented by a government. OR.

2. MISS dawn butler carries the formal obligation to present the material evidence that the circa 64.1 Million people have formally signed and legal "Consent to be governed" which would be representative of a commercial agreement where there can be contractual legal Obligations or Liabilities under the Act's and Statute's and legislations in accordance with the formally agreed and legally signed Agreement to the facts and with the Legal and signed declaration of NO CONTEST to that legal effect.

Failure to present this legally obligated evidence in fact will enter MISS dawn butler in to a lasting and legally binding agreement the following effect.

1. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that the claim that there is a legal and legitimate government is fraudulent in nature which is fraud by misrepresentation and carries a term of incarceration of seven to ten years and the latter where there is multiple instances off and is a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
2. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation is also formal and criminal malfeasance in the office which carries a term of incarceration of twenty five years (Life) which is also a chargeable criminal offence AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
3. That there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that the above formally agreed Fraud by misrepresentation and Malfeasance in the office is a demonstrated and wilful intention to cause distress and alarm which is also a demonstrated wilful and belligerent act of terrorism AND that there is a formal and lasting legal and binding agreement between MRS YVONNE HOBBS and MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State that MISS dawn butler has formally and legally agreed to stand for commercial charges to the same degree.
4. 

These are very serious crimes MISS dawn butler and under current state legislation there is a cumulative period of incarceration in excess of 150 years' incarceration. We would not wish to encumber the public purse for the costs of this incarceration as the public purse can ill afford this financial encumbrance. There is however an alternative and recognised process as suitable remedy.

As there is now an agreement between the parties by way of lasting tacit agreement through acquiescence, as you have already agreed to the crime then we elect to charge you under this agreement. As the crime was committed against ourselves, then we reserve the right to choose the remedy for these crimes.

Where there is a crime then there is a requirement for a remedy otherwise the crime goes unresolved. As we now have an obligation to bring this crime to resolution, we therefore are giving MISS dawn butleran opportunity to resolve.

## Opportunity to resolve.

1. For the formally agreed criminal offence of fraud by misrepresentation where the claim being made by MISS dawn butler (Claimant) that there is a Government is fraudulent in nature which is also wilful and premeditated fraud by misrepresentation. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence then we will elect to formally charge MISS dawn butler acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament
2. For the formally agreed criminal offence of Malfeasance in the office where MISS dawn butler (Claimant) acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament has agreed to this criminal offence of malfeasance in the office. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence then we elect to formally charge MISS dawn butler (Claimant) acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament. Five Million Pounds GBP.
3. For the formally agreed criminal offence of a wilful intent to cause distress and alarm which is a recognised and demonstrated wilful act of terrorism. Where this is an agreed chargeable criminal offence then we will elect to formally charge MISS dawn butler acting in the capacity of Member of Parliament. Five Million Pounds GBP.


Total agreed debt as resolution for the above listed criminal offences equals fifteen million pounds GBP
$\underline{£ 15,000,000.00}$
If you MISS dawn butler elect not to resolve this matter and debt in the next seven days from the receipt of this correspondence then, seven days later, we will issue a further reminder as you MISS dawn butler are in default of your agreement and your agreed obligation.

We will take further legal action by raising a Notice of Default and a surety on the debt by way of a security by way of a lien against the estate of MISS dawn butler and the future earnings of yourself and by way of the sins of the father to your descendants to the seventh generation where there may be an attachment of earnings and the pension of your grandchildren's grandchildren.

This may be viewed to be an excessive action to take as a remedy, but we bring your attention back to the affidavit Exhibit (F) No Body gets Paid. So is this an excessive action where there is no monetary value. No injury loss or harm can be caused by the action. This is just numbers of no commercial significance as there cannot be commerce without money and there is no such thing as money so there is no such thing as economics.

It is not our intent to place you MISS dawn butler in a state of distress or cause any distress loss or harm by this legal action. Let us face the facts. See Exhibit (F) in the affidavit. There is no such thing as Money. The Bank of England note is based upon confidence and Belief where belief is a concept in the abstract which is of no material substance.

There have been extensive conversations with Bank Managers. These conversations were fruitful. It was agreed and is true to note that a lien is an asset and is a security and also a commercial instrument. The enquiry was to distinguish if a special portfolio account was needed for the deposit of these commercial instruments. The response was that any one could deposit these commercial instruments in any account such as a current.

It could be said that to take this action is to destabilise the economy. WHAT economy? That was done generations ago when the government licensed fraudulent Banking Practice by that we mean Federal Reserve Banking practices, fractional lending and quantitative easing. All of which are Licensed Fraud by a Parliament that does not have the legal authority to do so.

We did ask ourselves "Are we committing Fraud" Our response to this was. Is there full disclosure? YES. Is there an agreement between the parties as a result of that disclosure? YES "Is there any injury loss or harm?" NO. Then there is no fraud?

Are we destabilising Government.? Without the consent of the governed on and for the record then there is no governed and no government by default. What Government? See Exhibit under the Affidavit Exhibit (H). Without a valid and accountable government then there is no such thing as the public or the public purse.

MISS dawn butler. You have seven (7) days to make reparation for your criminal offences. Seven (7) days after that there will be a notice of default and a security commercial instrument by way of a lien.

We await your response. Silence creates a binding agreement.
So let it be said. So let it be written. So let it be done.
Without ill will or vexation.
For and on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MRS YVONNE HOBBS.
For and on behalf of the Attorney General of the House of Hobbs .
For and on behalf of Baroness Yvonne of the House o Hobbs . All rights reserved.



To: dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk
Our Reference: HOH--dawn butler --HOHO361

## Notice of Default - Non-Negotiable

Important Legal Information - Do Not Ignore

Re: Legal Agreement by Acquiescence, dated the 05 December 2022, and Opportunity to Resolve, dated the 28 November 2022.

Dear MISS dawn butler
This is a notice to you that you are now in default of your obligations under the above written legal agreement by acquiescence as a result of your failure to make remedy by way of commercial instrument.

I hereby declare as of the above date, MISS dawn butler is now in default.
So that there can be no confusion, this notice is lawfully executed as of the above date. If, however, you make remedy by way of commercial instrument within the next seven (7) days, the Notice of Default will not be entered MISS dawn butler.

For the avoidance of doubt, failure to make remedy by way of commercial instrument of this Final Demand and Default Notice, dated the 05 December 2022, within the seven (7) days allowed time frame, we will enforce the Notice of Default in its entirety. Further legal action will be taken to recover the outstanding agreed debt.

Legal proceedings will be taken to resolve this matter by raising a security by way of a lien.
We await your response.
Silence gives consent. Silence creates a binding agreement.
So let it be said. So let it be written. So let it be done.
Without ill will or vexation.



## Exhibit (C)

## Affidavit of Truth and Statement of Fact.

## Placed formally on the record of Government and the State.

As of March 2015


Ilouse of Ward
145 Slater Street
Warrington
[WA4 1I)W]
$200^{\text {th }}$ Day of March 2015

# I adies and Gentlemen. It is our Duty and obligation and very great honour to make the following anmouncement and Decree. 

## On this Day the 20thDay of March 2015.



 bav, where a presumption is nothing of material substunce unt any presunyplion can be cismissec by a brimal challerge.

It is now confirmed Formally, on anc for the Reoond as of this: Day the 2uthDay of Mareh 2015 Agreed by the State and the Crown By wey of un-rebutted Atidswit and statement of Feet and that there as a lasting tasit and bunding agrement through Aequieseerce and Royal Assent by Detault. That Parliament does not reign supreme and that any notion of government has no legitimacy withont the Material evidence that the governed have given their consent and that there cannot he any (iovermment For the one cannot exist in isolarion withone the other. Also that any action taken lsy way of Acr or statute of Patliament is and always has been a criminal ulfence ul' FR. $(\mathbb{T}$ ) and Walleasance in the oflice at the very least.

It is now ronlimet Fommally, on anci for the Recont as of this Day the 20thDay of March 2015 Agreed by the State and the

 commencial body and the satue and standing of any Judge or Magistrate curreally un this land hos no grealer status or
 is a is legal embediment by an aet of registration which is of no material substance and therefore frand by defaul ance that: the interests of the State are the interests of the State alone to the detriment of anybody und anything else ineluding its osn oftieers of the state. That the actions of the State are now recognised ess an unconscionable and craninal fraternity eapable of highness erimes without menzure.

It is now contimed Formally, on anc. for the Roserd as of this. Day the $30^{\text {lh }}$ Day of March 2015 Agreed by the State and the
 Acquiescerce and Royal Assent by Tefault, That any and all evecunable Onders and Tocuments musr carryan affixed commor geal which denoles point of origin and that any and all excilable Orders ant Dex,ments rnast be signetl by humarn
 exciluble Order or ducument. Any devialion from this shadire provess where there is no allixed wommon seal ur signuture in wet ink by u living hund wilh vuthurity to do so, will be recoguised in perpetuily as t. crininal uffence.

It is now confirmed Formally, on anc for the Rxond as of this: Duy the 2UthDuy of Mareh 2015 Agroed by the State and the Crown By way of un-rebutted A.tiduvit and statement of fuet and that there as a lasting tavit und bunding agroment through Acquieseerece and Royal Assent by Detumit. I'tat ull amposed luxation and Duty is and ulwuys has been net only a criminal oftenoe hut is also detrimental to all the poople of this planer.
 Allumey al Laws. No Assared Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and Omixsions Ifxeeptad. All Rights Reserved.
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Hlouse of Ward
145 Slater Street
Warrington
[WA4 1I)W]
$20^{1 /}$ Day of March 2015

Than from lhis day forward und as of the $20^{\text {Lh }}$ Duy of March 2015 and in perpetuity the enforcement of all Tuxalion and duty is a recogrised Act o: Ternuisn土. It is nuw cualimed Furmally, on and har the Record as of this Day lee 2obhbily uf March 2015 Agreed by the State und the Crown By way of un-rebulled Affidavil und stamen of Fow and that there is a fasting lacil and bindime agnement through Acpuiescence and Koyal Assent by Lbefarla. Thal there is no such thing as money ur commeres. Na body get paid or has been paid. No Bocy has the apabslity to Pay anybocy or for any thing or Item without Moncy. All eemmereial instrumerts are nothing more thar pieces of paper with inarks on them. Thet there value is only confidence and lelef where confidenee and Behet is rexognise: as being of momatenal sabstanee. The contint:od use of these commercial instmments is for the teeble of mine who insist on living in a make believe world of their owen making. Capitalism will forsver be recognizod and in persetuity as the exploitation of another for personal zain. This has always been an menenscionable and derrimental activity to the human race sirce Rabylonian times.

It is now monlimen Fonmally, on anc liur the Recont as of this Day the $20^{\text {th }}$ Day or March 2015 Agreed by the State and the Crown By way ol un rebulled A Mitavil and sketement ul Fabt and that there is a lasting lazit and hinding agreernem through Acquiesemee und Royal Assent by Delual.. There is no grealer Suncluary Ihar the bunkm home, be (his home a caste or a wood hul or o. blazkel un the ground. From this duy formurd us on the $20^{\text {th }}$ Duy of Murch 2015 let it be known thal
 Yiat and uegresoion We hure the right by the very fued then we live to prowel uur life and the life of our hovec ones. Any trunserassion of this Sutctuary can be met with ecual or erreut foree wath impurity. This is the lone standing law and traditions of this lund So say we all.

It is now contimed Formally, on and for the Record as of this Day the $20^{\prime \prime}$ Day of March 2015 Agreed hy the State and the Crown Ry way of un-rehutted A tidavit and stetement of Fact and that there is a lasting tacit and hinding agrovment through Aequieseeroe and Reyal Asient hy Detanlt. Trat the practice of election by way ot seeret hallat is and always hes hoen an abomination and deceptiun with no credibility or rextecmirg gualitics. Tiy the wery fat: that his is a SRCRRTT Ballou by anty mears of notatization or recurding renders the oulcome obsolete by delinition that is a seenel Tatlout. By the very fand that. thene is no recognised un-clective or nevenes process and by the wery faet that there is no such wont to ihis elleet in the recoguised dictionaries. Then this elective process by way of secret ballot is and alsinys hes been void ab initio. Hiave a nice Diy. On and for the record.

Dring ot the town crier imd let the Dell ring. Let it be known across this planet, lhat from litis day the 20thDiy of March 2015 that the saturic Roman Limpire is no mone. Let it be by Decreed that this is the chay und will always be fiee day in perpetuity winen the duys of austerity and tymuny end for all time to come. Let this day go down in histery acmoss thas planet as a day of cele snation for all time. So say we all.

## Let the celebrations begin.

## So say we all.

C\&G, AC\&G, ONC, HVC. MCP, MCP+i. MCSF., RBA, Para l.cgal, Atlumey al Laws. No Assamed Value. No Liability, Nu Errors aned
 Omixsions Iixeeptad. All Rights Reservec.
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Hlouse of Ward
145 Slater Suret
Warrington
[WA4 IIN]
13' Day of February 2015

## Affidavil of Truth and statement of Fact.

1. 2. Baron David of the House of Wadd focigy the unders:cnad) do solemuly swarar. declare and deposs....
1. THAT I an competcut to sate the matters bersin. and do take oath and swear that the neatters herein are trus. octain and

 provide the mascrial and physica evidence to the eontrary:
2. ThisT I tully and coupletely underatand, becere any charges cau be brought, it must be tirstly proved, by presuating the
 phyeical substance as a foundation in fact.

 pres.unption until the physica. and material evicence can be presented to support that presu:uption.

 chalicnge the PCV or the traftic Managenent Act 2004 section 82 . But what was challenged was the presumption of the
 the consent of the governed has some validity and that it can be presented as riaterial fiact before any clauges can be
 stetutes witheut the couscat o: the goverued where the governed have actually given their consent and chut cousent is
 stistutes are actod apon then :his is illegal ane a crinubal action by the State. (3) The crimizal action is Malfeasense in a


 evidence to the fact that LAW is a presumption and thes is no suct thire ss LAW. See Exhibit (A) :he trelve pers mplions of low.
 Jack Beatson I'DA, on and for the reco.e that:-(I) Whilst there is no material and plysical evidence to the tect :lut the
 MeDonulds. As the effice of the Judieiary is a sub oftiec of a kegul embodiment by an act oviregistrution. Where this act
 observetion of fact sbould be caken up with the Rr. Fon Lord|Chiet Justice Sir Jack Beatson FBA. Where the R:. Her Ionul Chief Justice Sir Jack Beatwon FTAA wuld then have be present the material and physical evidernee that the grocruod have given thei: consent. As the oftiee of the Judicisy is nothing nore tasa a private sommercial and
 the poople for the people as it is hy default a private company prowiding a judicial service for profit and gain but where



Ilouse of Ward<br>145 slater Sueet<br>Warrington<br>[WA4 IIN]<br>13' Day of February 2015

there $s$ also and always a contlict of interests whene there is a contlict of interests semeen the noeds of the people and
 has heen confirmed by Chandran Kakathas of the I.ondron School of Fisonomiss and state nffice ritlat the Teparmant of Government. See Lixhibit (C) The Neteriml evidence of the FiACTS
 these proeesses are not fellowed then the rery presence cf a docurnent which docs not conrply wi:l these processes then the document it's self is phyvical anal material exiderne of Malfeaconee in a puibliv umice arnd irash.
8. Frona Exhibit (E). It $s$ very elsar that all instances of Taxation and Dury. VAT s not only not neessary but only sarves to deplele and subitici from the pupulaums presper ly, Vol only this but as we have shown it is alsu illegel and eriminal to do so sitiour the agroment or the consent of the grwered. It is uneonscionakle and a meognised act of tersorism. The It xhibil speates fior its seli;
9. From Fxhibit (F). The Fats are the Facts. There iz no moncy. The facrs are the Fack. A great mumer of perple live their

 have is $50 \%$ finilure cate. In a world of reality there :s some prople who service the panes at the local airport becween
 is no money, just be illuzion of moncy. There is legal tander and issul curcency and conumerciel instruments und
 Alige in woudcrland Lar Lar land. There is no moncy. It is rot possible so pay fir abytbing without money. Yo. never

10. There is no salid. Icgal of lawful government on this land. Ses Fxhibit (H) The Hynerizy of the Secret Faller Flosive Process.
 by government or the ewom and they annot be taken sway or viclated by governnent or the crown. A Judge doca not
 the cight by means of a daurant or an order because the .udge, who is a compeny scruant by detaul. does not have that
 above the one who grants thar authority. Urtil the Judge can present the agrement or the consent ef the governed then
 Warrington Borough Council. 30thdsy of May 2CI3. Also Exhibit IC) The Naterial evidence of the FACTS. These are the fiats. The maturial eviderice of these farts has bee a parsided.
12. This Aftidavit $o=$ Truth anc statement of Faet stards on and for the soord es FACT until some other can present the molerial physual evisfenw lo te cuntrity which is salis.

Without il sill on wexatinn.
For and on behalf of the Principal legal conzodiunent by the title of MR DAYID WARD Fir and in bellal of tive athurney General of the Ituse uf Wial. For and ou babalf of Broon David of the House of Ward

All rixits meserval

C\&G, AC\&G, ONC, HVC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSF, RBA, Para 1.cgal Alturney al Laws. No Assamed Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and
 Omixsions Ixeceptad. All Rights Reservec.
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Ilouse of Ward
145 Slater Suret
Wartington
[WA4 1I)
19th Day of January 2015

## Exhibit (A)

## Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law

## 19th Day of January 2015



Ilouse of Ward
145 slater Sueet
Warrington
[WA4 IIW]
19th Day of January 2015

## Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law

「Jefinition of presumption:
httr://woww.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/erglishípresumption

1. An idea that is taken to be true on the basis of probability;

As a presumptian, is a peesumption on which must tee agresed by the parties, to be true.
IIIEN anId ECQUALY
If one party clallenges the presumptior to be true on the basis of probability. Then this is all that is recognised to be required to remove the presumption is a formal challenge to that presumption. The presump:ion then has no standing or merit in FACT.

A probability: http://wow.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/american english/prohability

1. The extent to which something is probable; the likelihood of something happening or being the case:

By cefinition then this is not substartive as it is only a probability of what may he and therefore has no substance in matcrial HACl .

A State Court does not operate according to any true rule כf law, but by presumptions of the law. Therefore, if prestmptions presented by the private Bar Guld are not rebuttec they become foct and are therefore sald to stand true. There are twelve (12) key presumptions asserted by the private Bar Guilds which it unchallenged stand true heing Public Record, Public Service, Public Oath, Immunity, Summons, Custody, Court af Guardians, Coust of Trustees, Govermment as Executor/Benejfilary, Agent and Agency, Mcompetence, and Guit:
(i) The Fresumution of Pubic Record is that any matler brought before a state Court is a mäller for the public record when ir fact it is presumed by the members of the private Bar Guild that the matter is a privale bar Guild business maller. Uriless operily rebuked anc aejected by statine clearly the matter is to be on the Public Record, the matter remains a private Bar Guild matter completely under private Rar Guild rules;

We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Public Record as it is by detinition a prestmpliun by cefinitiun and hess no standin), or merit in presentable or material fatl.

C\&G, ACKG, ONC, HNC, NCP, MCP+i. MCSF., R,R,A, Para I.cgal. Allumey al Laws. No Assared Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and
 Omixsions Ifxeeptad. All Rights Reserved.
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Hlouse of Ward
145 Slater Sueet
Warrington
[WA4 IIW]
19th Day of January 2015
(ii) The Presimption of Pubtic Service is that all the members of the Private Rar Cuikd wha have all sworn a solemn secret absolute oath to their Guild then act as public agents of the Government, or "public: officials" by making additional oaths of public office that openly and deliberately can:radict their private "superion" oaths to the r owre Guild. Unkss operly rebuked and rejected, the claim stards tha: :hese private Bar Guild members are legit mate public ser/ants and therefore trustees under pualic. oatr;

We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presiomption of Public Service as it is by definition a prescmplion, by definition and has no standires, or merit in presentable or material fach.
 "public otficials" who have swicrn a sclemn public oath remain bound by that oath and theretore bound to serve honesty, impartiality and fairly as dictated by their oath. Unless apenly challengec. and demanded, the presumption s:anes tha: the Private Bar Guile members have functionec under their public oash in contradiction to their Guild cath. If challenged, such individuals must recues therrselves as having a conf ict of incerest and cannol possibly stand uneer a public oath;

We, the undersienced formally challenge the Prestimption of Publle Oath as it is by celinition a prestmption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
(iv) The Presumption of lmmunity is that key members of the Private Bar Guild in the capacity of "pub ic officials" acting as juc.ges, prosecutors and magistrates who have sworn a solemn public:oath in good faith are immune from personal claims of injury and liability. Unless openly challenged and their oath demanded, the presumption stands that the members of the Private Riar Guild as public. trustees act reas juiges, prosecoutors and mafistrates are immume from any personal accountability for their actions;

We, the under signed formally challenge the Prescimplion of fmmurtity as it is by definition a prest.mption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
(v) The Presumption of Summons is that by custom a summons unrebutted stands and therefore one who allemds Count is pesurred to decepl a posilion (defendant, jurn, witness) and jutisdiction of the court. Attendance to court is usually ir vitation by summons. Unless the summons is rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filerd prior to choosing to visit or attend, iurisdiction and position as the accused and the existence of "guilt" stands;
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Hlouse of Ward
145 Slater Sueet
Warrington
[WA4 IIW]
19th Day of January 2015

We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Summons as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
(vi) The Presurnption of Custody is that by custorn a summens or warent for arrest ur rebulted stands and theretare one who attends Court is presumed to be a thing and therefore liable to be detained in custody by "Custocians". Custodians may only lawfully hold custody of property and "trings" not flesh and thood soul possessing beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by acjection of summons and/cr at court, the presumption stands you are a thing and property and therefore lawfully able to be kopt in custody by custectians;

We, the underifired formally challenpe the Prestimplion of Custody es it is by definition ed presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable er material fact.
(vii) The Presumption of Cout of Guardians is the presumption that as you may be listed as a "resident" of a ward of a loc.al government area and have listed on your 'pass port" the letter P, you are a pawer and therefore under the "Guardian" powers of the govermenent and its agents as a "Court of Guardians". Unless this presumption is cpenly challenged to demonstrate you are both a gereral guardian and general exceuter of the matler Strust; before the court, the presumption stands and you are by cetault a pauper, and lunatic and the refore must obey the rules of the clerk of guarcians (clerk of maflstrates court);

We, , the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Guardians as, it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
(vili) The presumption of ciourt of Trustees is thet members of the private bar ciuld presume you arcept the office of trustee as a "putlic senvant" and "goyernment employee" just by atzencing a Roman Court, as such Courts are always for public. trustees by the riles of the Giuild and the Roman System. Unless this presumption is openly ct allenaed to state you are merely visiting by "irvitation" to clear up the matter and yo.s are not a government employee or public tr.sitee in this instance, the prosumption stards and is assumed as one of the most signilicant reasons le claim jurisdiction simply because you "appeared';

We, the undersigned tormally challenge the Presumption of Trustees as it is by detinition a prest.mption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
fix| The Presumption of Government acting in two roles as Executor ond Beneficiory is that for the matler at hane, the Private Bar Guild appoints the judge/mag istrate in the capeaty of Executor while
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Hlouse of Ward
145 slater Sueet
Warrington
[WA4 IIDW]
19th Day of January 2015
the Prosecutor acts in the capacity of Beneticiary ot the trust tor the current matter. if the accused does seek to assert their right as Fxecutor and 3eneficiary over their body, mind and soul they are acting as an [xecular De Son Torl or a "false executor" challeriging the "rightful" juctge as Executor.

Ihuefore, the judec/magistrate assumes the roke or "true" executor and has tre rieht to have you arrested, detained, tined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly
 matter (trust'; betore the court, questioning and challenging whether the judge or magistrate is seeking to act as Fxecutor Dee Son Tort, the presumption stands and you are by defatilt the trusteee, therefore must obey the rules of the executor 〈judge/magistrate〉 or you are an Executer De Son Tort and a judge or magistrate of the private Bar guild may seeplc to assistance of hailiffs or sheriffs to assert their false claim aga inst you;

We, the undersigned formally challenge the Prestimphon of Government acting in two roies as Executor and Beneficiary as it is by definition a presumption, by cletinition and has no standing or merlt in presentable or material fact.
(x) The Presumption of Agent ond Agency is the presumption that under cantract law you have expressed and granted authority to the Judge and Magistrate through the statement of such words as "recognize, .nderstand" or "comprehend' and therefore agree to be bound to a contrac:-. therefiope, unless all presiumptions of apent appointment are cehutted through the use of surth formal rejections as "I do not recognize you", to remove all implied or expressed appointment of the judge, prosecutor or clerk as agents, the presumption stands and you agree to be montractually bound to per form at the direction of the judge or magistrate:

We, the undersiged formally challenge the Presumplion of Agent and Agency as it is by defimition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
[xil The Presumption of Incompetence is the presumption that you are at least ignorant of the law, therefore incompetent to present vourself and argue properly. Therefore, the j.dge/magistrate as executor has the righ: to have you arrested, detained, fined or foreed into a osychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to the fact that you know your position as executar and tencficiary and aclively rebuke and objeel to arny contrar $y$ presumptions, then il stands by the
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time of pleading that you are incompetent then the jucge or magistrate can da what they need to kecp you cbedient;

We, the under sienced formally challenge the Prestimplion of Incompetence as it is by definition a prestmption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.
(xi) The Presumption of Guift is the presumplion that as it is presumed to be a private bus iress meeting of the Rar Guild, you are guilty whether you pleas "guilty", do not plead or plead "not guilty". Iherefore unless you cither have previeusly prepared ar alfidavil of truth and motion to dismiss wi:h extreme prejudice onto the public record or call a demurrer, then the presumption is you are puilly and the pivale Ber Guid car hold you until e bond is prepared ta puaranter the dmount the guild warts to profit from you.

We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Guift as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

We tormally challenge all presumptions of law and as we rave tormally challenged all the twelve presumptions of law then the presumplion of law formally hä's no substarne in materiel +ACl .
As a scholar of law and recognised R.B.A. (Recognised By Achievemen:) Parra Legal by the demonstrated knoviledge at court tribunal. (See encioseo case authoriry exhibit B. Dovid word and Warmaton Borough counct 30r" Doy of May 2013. Case No Wl-05257F) We will recognise the rule of law, when and only when there is the materizl evidenoe of that assumed rule of law has some material evidence of substance in presentable material fact.

Until then the search for the r.le of law that has some credibilizy in material fact: continues.

II is dones.
Without ill will or voxation.


## Exhibit (B)

## Case Authority

## Case No WT 05257F

## David Ward

And
Warrington Borough Council
Date: $30^{\text {th }}$ Day of May 2013

## Case Oversiew

What the Govemment sould like peotple to believe is hat a plcedual improptiely is an atoeplable mistake which can be


Thase are vely serious crimes with criminal inten,
Fiand is a deliberate action to deliand where the victim of the crime is unaware having no howiedge of a situation or fact. This crime caries a penally of 7 to 10 years incaremation and there latrer, whene there is multiple instances of 63.5 twillion People are subject to this crime everyday as it is now commumplace and is carried vut by the hargesl and mosi ruthlass arirrinal compeny in this cuuntry.

 Companics which are lisensed by the sume empuny.

 by appellare conts in other jurisdictinns as a wrongriul act which the astor has no legal right to do; as an act for which there is no autherity or warrant oflas, as an act which a person ought not to do; as an act which is wholly wronglul and unlawtill; as thar which an ofticer has no authoriry to do and is posirively wrong or unlawitl; and as the unjust pertormance of some act which the parly pertorming it has no legal right

Crimes of this nature camel go unputished. Tr critre goes unp, mished then the criminal will uncertake the action again and agoin. When the criminal is rewarded for the crime by their peers ind superiurs it then joeomes difieult to know that a crime has beern cutumitted in the first plate. Thwever, it is evergone's othligation to be fully cunversant with there aetions, and the emsoquences of their actions in every situation.
"I was just lolluwing orders" Or "1 was just doing my Ieb" Is nu excuse.
When the full exlent of these crimes is realised, it then beromes blatantly obviula thal these erimes are deliberate and in full knoviedge if not by the kower subordinates but defiantly by the executive officers of the company.

The cust of limee crimes has been estimited to be in the region of 14.037 .25 Trillion uver the pasi 35 years. This is the cost to the prople of this small uantry which is far in excess by many times the glotal (iDP.

The simplicity of this cuse is very ofen overlouked as it involves a simple PCN. (Penally Charge Nulice)
It is important to note here that the appellam at urbunal did not challenge the PCN , or the Traflic Mamagement Act. But the afpellant took out the very foumbation to any claim ande under anty Abt or statute of Parliamenal. All. of which tarve the same legal dependency which has never beer fulfilled in 800 years.

I here are mexcess of \& riblion Act's and stitutes. None of which cim be acted upon without the legal autherity to do so. Io act upon these same Act w'Sutules willout the legal authority to do so is Malfeasance in a public oftiee and fraud at the very least.

I his case which was undertaken at tribunal and there for rexequized dae process wonfirms this to be the facts of the matter.

## Case details.

This may be a simple PCN iPenalty (harge Notios) but clase olservation of the details will cenclusively show otherwise.
This is the PCN (Pesally Cherge Nutive) issurd by Waringen Burough Council which clearly shows that a cham is beine made under the tratlic management sem 2no4. There is clearly no diselesure to the laci that there is no liability to pay as lhe outconte will show.


The Vext document and physical zvidence is the notice to owner liom the samp Warrington bonough Council which also
 April 2013.

## Notice to Owner

Traffic Management Act 2004, s82: Civil Enforcertent of Paking Contraventiors (England) General Regulatons 2007, Civil Enforcament of Parking Contraventions (England) Repressitations and Appeats Regulations 2007

## Mr. David Ward

145 Slater Street
Warrington
WA4 IDW

## WI01185069

This Notice to Owner has been issued to you by Warrington Borough Councll because the Penalty Charge Notice has not been paid in full and you are the registered owner/keeper/hirer on the date on which the Penalty Charge Notice was served to the vehicle.


Note: The person appearing to be in charge of the vehicle was served with a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) which allowed 14 days for peyment of a $50 \%$ discourted peralty charge: otherwise the ful penalty charge became due Either no payment has been recelved or any payment received has been insufficient to clear the penaity charge
A penalty charge of $£ 70$ is now payable by you as the owner and must be paid no later than the last day of the period of 28 days beginning with the date on which this Notice is served. This Notice will be taken to have been served an the second working day after the day of posting (as shown above) unless you can show that it was not.

## YOU THE OWNER/KEEPER/HIRER ARE LIABLE FOR THE PENALTY CHARGE NOTICE - DO NOT IGNORE THIS NOTICE OR PASS IT TO THE DRIVER

You may make representations to Warrington Borough Council as to why this penaty charge should not be paid. These Representations should be made not later than the last day of the period of 28 days beginning on the date on which this Notice is served and any representations made outside that period may be disregarded

Note: If you do not pay the penaty charge or make Representations before the perisd specitied above the penalty charge will increase by $50 \%$ to $£ 105$ and a Charge Certicate will be served on you. If you do not pay the full amount shown on the Charge Certificate, Warrington Borough Council may register it as a debt at the County Court and then put the case in the hands of the bailiffs who will add their own costs to the penalty charge.

## Payment Slip WI01185069

For payment options please see overleaf
You must complete this sip in BLOCK CAPITALS and return it to the address below

Penaly Charge Notice:W101185065 Vehicie Registration Number WM51GJ2
Date of Contravention 050312013
Payment Amount Due: $£ 70$

## Representations

## WARRINGTON

Borough Council
enaky Charge Notice. Wiot105069
Vehicle Registration Number:WVM5 1 G.JZ
Date Of Contravention.05/03/2013

If you bebieve that the penalty charge should not be paid you may make Representations to Warrington Borough Counc Representations must be made in writing and you may use this form.

## How to Make Representations

The Tratho Mariagement Act 200 d sels out grounds (see below) on which you may make Representations
Representations must be made in writing within the period of 23 days bepining with the date of service of this Notige, the date of sorvice will be takon to havo been 2 . working days after tho day of poating Any Representations mad

II your Reprosemition is unsuccesathi a Netice of Rejection will bo isswad so you and you must oather pay the penaity charge in fur o
 which you should cormplete and send to the adjudicator at the eddress shown on the form. Details of the appeals procedure will

Section One: Grounds for Repressentations.
Please tick the grounde on which you are making representations
I am not liable to pay the penalty charge because:
Ff The alleged contravention did not occur.
The alloged contravention did not occur.
in Section 3, explain why you believe no contraventice took place
I was never the owner of the vehicle in question/or
Plense complete secticn 2
I Ihad ceased to be its owner before the date on which the alleged contravention occurredior
Please complete section 2
I Ibecame ita owner after the date on which the alleged contravention occurred.
Please complete section 2
7. The vehicle had been permitted to remain at rest in the place in question by a person who was in control of the rehicle without the consent of the owner
supply proof such as a police crime report number, police station address or insurance elaim in Section 3
We are a vehicle hire firm and the vehicte was on hire under a hiring agreemeent
statement acknowledging liability for any PCN ias ued during the hiring period.
Ploase supply a copy of the signed tire agreement including the name and audress of hirer. Please comptete Section 4
The penalty charge exceeded the amount applicable in the circumstances of the case
That is, you have been asied to pay more than you are legaliy liable to pay. Piease complete Section 3.
There has been a procedural impropriety by the enforcement authority.
Please oomplate section 3 stating why you beteve the authonty has acted improperly or in breach of tegulations.
, $)^{\prime}$ The Order which is alleged to have been contravened in relation to the vahicle concerned is invalid
You believe the parking restriction in question was irmelid or tlepel Please complate Siection 3.
This Notice shoutd not have been served because the penalty charge had already been paid.
If noee of the grounds above apply but you betieve there are mitigating circumstances please complete Section 3
 circunstances is thet thene has boen a procodural impropriety, which is alearly an option as this is alearly stated on the notice to owner. So it is apparent that there is a procodimal impropricey in place and this is known lyy Viarringron Barmugh Conncil otherwise thiz option womid not he a part of the Notice to owner. We also mok the oppontuniry me utilise a second sption which conlims thate is a procetutal impropriety and that the order which is alleged whave been contravened in relatiun to the veluite is invalid. Why ells wauld these pussibilities be on 山is twice to usuer if there was hul it
 proosiumal ampropricty on a sieparate piece of puper na advocntod by wumington Borough Colncil ass tiere was not though space on the molice to owner provided. I'hesie presertations were as fullows.

# Notice to Warrington Borough Council 

14. Slater street

## Notice of opportunity to withdraw <br> NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL ANO NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT APPUES DO NOT IGNORE THIS LETTER. IGNORING THIS LETTER WILL HAVE LEGAL CONCEQUENCES

## You're Referenes: wiluils',jbs

## Dear Sir's

 the document sent to Mr David Ward at his addrass. The action of not signing the cocument sent to Mr Devid Werd legelly means that no lving derson has taken lagel responsibilizy for the content of the document on behaf of Waringoo Borcugi council and the
 none lepal a ad unusoble in sw under current kaislation, 5trike one beliberate Dereption.

 the representatives of 'warington Borough Councl are now cuipable under the current lealslation because one inclyidual falled to sian the cocument. I his is a न̈ct w ich must be uncerstood. 5trike two. Ifarance of current lemislation.


 progressed beyond the firit line yet and we are talling around on the ficor in a state of nysterla at the competence lave : jemonatratec by the representatives of Warr ngen Bcrou ah Courci. Mr Davio Wars is the official reansterec keeper not the swner.
The very next line refers to the Traltic Monagement Ac: 2004, Now this is where t 7 inas act real y interestine beczuse the Act


 act's end stétutes of HM Perllament and government: PLC can only be glyen to ce of las' by the consen: of the governec which have agreed to those Act's and statute: of HM Parilamen: ano governments PLC. There for the' 6 is a mandatory legal requirement under wirrent lefisiotion that the zovernec must have given their consent casoly which can be physically $p$ esenoed as act before the Act's and statutes of Hiv Parliament end povemmenta FLE can be fiven force oflaw. Not Lew, Vot enforecoble, Sixty three and a helf

 action which irvolves fores. Or lorce of law. The answers le the questions are in the understardirg of the words used to inuplerieril achs of farce. Or Lase.
 Bill: of txcharge Act 1882. 5trike Five. The bills of exchange act of 1882 is based ugon a pre existing commercial contract or agrement. Spe Bils of exchanger act of 188\%. httn://wwo.legis/ation.zcw.ul/ukpga/Virt/45 46/61.

Proflteering through cecestion is an ant ot trauz. Strike slx. See Traje Net 2005.
nttp://wwwi.legisation. 200 . Lk; ukpga/2006/35/conte its. Insisting or derranding payment without a pre existing commerclal

## You have been served LEGAL NOTICE

Nr Cavid werd has no recognisabe legal means to raspons to a 0 anend for peyment without a slgned bil which is besad upon a pre Existing commerclel contract or ariangement or agreement, becajse thare is no ita iding commercial contract or arrangement or afreement between fyr Dovid Werd and Warrineton sorcugh Cou-cil. If wir David Word was to willinaly comply with the dem and




The wery presertation of the document that we are responemg to from Warington Borough Councli. which is also a docament that will be kept on file for future presentetion as piysical eycence, which is p'tsentasle physical evidence and a litt of tiansgresicns afoinst the curren:ly cels lefisiotion.

 proceedings implementedi legally by the enforsement au:tority.

Ih: jocument is representation as to the procecural imjopristy $z y$ tie $\equiv$ nforcemen: authority ans as atared at the outeet of the



is the epportunisy to withdraw has now دeen presentec to the entorcement authority and the menbers of War ington Borcugh Eouncl under a grocedural improp-lety by the enforcement aut icrity. Sholld the above mentioned rot take the opportunity to make an conourable withdrawel ard confirm such in writing to Mr Dayld ward, then Nr Cavid Ward will be left with oother option in the future but to :tat legal proceedings egal ist the enforce nen: author ty ans the members of warington Borougn Councl.
 birldi"g with whict lo peevent ltis.

We don't expect to be hearine from :he enforcement authority ant or the memcers of warrington Borsugh Council afa n unless it is in the form of a written confirmation of withd rawal of proceedinas.
No further correspondence will ve entered into refardinp th ; matte:
WITIOU' PRTIIUJ Cr, i.e. a | nalural and Urialierrable Rients Re:nmerd
ror and on behalf of Dave Ward

Mr David Ward reserves the right to use force to defend himself, his family and his tamily home, which he has an unalienable right to do so.
kesponse to zis notice should be forwe fed with in 10 oars of receipt of this notioc to the gostal jooress known is,

Na assured value, Na liability. No Errors \& Omissions Accepted. Al Rights Reserved.
WITHOUT RECOURSE - NON-ASSUMPSIT

## You have been served LEGAL NOTICE

Warington Borough woncil decided at this point not to recognise the representation given or the requirement for
 Warrington Borough omucil to have the correct legal authority betore acting under the Act's and statutes of parlianent.

It is also importam: 10 note that Warrirghon Rorough council did not at this point contest the presentations made,


Thate is no effective comest to the presentations made. So the presentations made stand as fact.
Also at this point Warrington Rorough council invityd Wr D Ward te rake Warrington Rorcugh council to tribunal and the

copy ol all documents up to this poith as physical exidence. This was the same prooess as beltre. Along wilh same


Please forg-ve the informality as we have net becn made aware of the nave of the adjusicator.



 to Mi Davil Ward complete with a set ink signature.
 PC'V is rat validere enforxenthe

Warringten Borouph Council has mase a demand for payment, but has not presented Mr Thavid Ward with a Bill which is recognised

 raise al lill.

For Mr Dovid Ward to tespond by payine witheut a bill signed in wet ink. then that would be a direct vielation of the wills of exchanze act of 1882. In addition :o this as thete is no commercial arrengenen: and Bill presented, then this weuld also be a coutravertion of
 against Virlavic Ware,

 governed. What is manda:ory in the first instanec is the consent of the gosernod which salso presentable as fact. As the eonseat of

 a hulf millivn querned to be in pluce betiore an Act an Stutue cur be ated upon.
We tall to see bow this is in suppest of the PCN preseneed to Mir De. vid Wiusi.



There are no sgecusets in plase kerwecu the 22000 residents of the Warrington Borough and Warrington Borough Cenacil, whick: san he prosented as fast cemplats with signaturcs in wat ink, which san he prosentod to stpport the clain of Warrington Bexsugh



 flaud andee section 1 section 2 of the fraud ast of 2006.


 support of the a.leged contrevention.

* (C) There has betn a protedurul impropricty by the council. 'The coumil did not nespond to the challenge inate by M-
 Courcil ane advocatine to Mi Lavid Wand in their demand for payment withont a bill presented, a diact enntavention of the

- (D) The traffic Order which is alleged to have been connravened in relation to the vebicle concerned is invalid. This


 form of an agroment, then it is not legal or is. llezal aud thancfore not lawtil You bave to lave the word legal



* A challenee bas bocn masis and las not been ettiectively rebutrei by Warriugtou Borough Council.
 Ast of 1832 anc signed in wet ink cannot je responise :o in the mance: cspoeed by War:ingten Bosengh Council. without a wome Hansgession aga nas the fram act of 2016 .
- Kegardles of tie policies on legistation of Warn ngem Horfongh Coumeil on HM Parliament and fioceruments PIA: any: emmencrial activity sexu doxistituce an act of fraud sitlent tec commereial agrement in phece befruchand.
- The centinuod acivates where dernands for payment are mado without observing the bills of $\operatorname{xxcha}$ ge act $18: 82$ and a
 members of Warringlon Burough fourcal are culpable in low for theit ations.

There can only be one outcome to this tribunal whith is acceptable urder curreat lezislation and that cutcouse will be fourd in finove of the appellant M, David Ward and oot in Feworr of continted uansgessions agsinist cuincol legislation by Warfington Bobuazh trmacil.

In the document provided oudining prowedure to muke presentations in this trizumu precess, there is a section concerning Cose in tivour of the appellaut, where in party bas behaved wholly unensocable.


 vorsideration is in order.
 tindings of the Adiudicutor.
 adjudivator'; dicisious.

WTTHOT:T PREJUDICE. i.s. all Datual s.DA Tivalievable Rights Reserval
 - 0 .

No assured valuc, No liability. Errors \& Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.

 which also has some beatring on this tribunel

The results frum the tribumul are is folluws. Incisien Cover Letter (Acoclorti 12492 f0 1.pd=


Clearly thas is a tribunal and as such reeoenned due proeess whel is legal and binding on beth Harties. In addawn to this there was the adjudeater's decision.

Adjucticator Decision 12/926/,pof

 apy:2a!"

Waringhon Rorough Council cannot contest the appal. There is a mandatory requirement lor Warritgon Revough
 public rewor "Consent of the Governed" This is the legal audhority that Warrington Borvugh coumal mould beve to present as physical evidence and fourdation for there claim. for the claim to huse any legal substunce in presentable fact.

He who makes the elaim must also provide the foumdation and the physical proef of that elai:n other wise the mexon could be made from cream cheese just because Warineton Lorough wouncal alaim dis is so.
 and that erme is fraud not a procedural impropriety or a matake. A lso, there is a seeond crime. Thas second erime is Maltazance in a public oftice. A clear and intended action to extort finds whare there is no legal aurhority to da son.
 the cave"

Clearly there are morits of the case which have hece preverted here.
The appellant is not livble to pay. Cuse No wios2571 Deled $30^{\circ}$ duy of May 2013.
 Swott Clarke Lated 2y of May 2012.




This is a very interesting cheice of wurls which is ubfuscatur in naltue. Wariughon Buruagh Cuameil will never be able to provide stafl which cam provide the legal consent of the groerned beause for the pas 800 pears the guverned halve never onee boen so much as askid to provide the legal consent of the governed on and for the publie reeord. Warrineten
 tor the tom
"Warmagton Bonaugh Council has no aitertatre excup to exercise our diwerenion"
As there is no legal consent of the governed then Warmẹton Borengh Council dese not have any authonty or daseretuon to exercise. This also applics to HW1 Parliamerts and (iwerrment PlC, the parent company.

A livence is a permission to undertake an actiun that would oherwise be illenal. IIP Parlitanents and Guvermanals PLC elearly du not have the legul Aulhurity tu issue any forn of licence withua the legal and physically presentuble signed in wet ink conkent of the governed. Also. HM. Parlaments and ciovemments PLC' do not huwe the legal authorty to determine that an actien is iliegal withut the legal and signed consent of the genverned physically on and tor the puhlic record. There is no physical recerd of the fact, 63,5 million Psople have not sigred the consent of the gevernad,
63.5 million Pcople have never mee boen ask wid and have never mee signsi the coment of the govemed and as the oftice of Parliament is only a four year nftice then there must he this signed legal docment every four wears on and tor the publie record.

All Fums ol: Tax, VAT, Duly, Council lax che is illegal and conslitucs ramd and mal leasence in a publie ontice withoat wis legal dependency being fulfilled.

The enforvement of these Act's: Stameses, by the Polies, the lecal authority, the Judiciary, and yovernment lieensed Bailifts is also illegal and constitutes malleasance without this legal authority to do so,

It is a hotown laco and this has heen documemed by Chamered accountants that the populace pays all maner ollax to the lume of $65 \%$ in the 2. Sumetimes where fuel is concerned this is a wuch as $92 \%$ in the pound. The argument hids been made that it is recestary to pay tax to pay for the wervices thal we need such de police, anbularice and so uri. Thert it can also be argued that these soople who provide these serviecs should not pay any form of ' lax. They should five a tex free lite.

This is not in evidence. In fuct the contrury is true.
It would also le uccurate to argue that the $15 \%$ that the populace gets to keep netually pays for all the services anclusive. Pepple provide serviecs not grovemment. This would he an atedrate sswesment inf the availahle thats. There is no valid reason to pay tax at all and the cose of living would drop by $\mathrm{X} 5 \%$ ar a minimum.

Do the math.
All the publie offieials are also victims of this erime. Including the Police, Ambulanee, Haramodie, I cachers and so on. In fact there is not an instance where there is not a victim of this crime.

The ramifications span well beyond the content of this case authority undertuken by recolenised cue procuss at tribual.


Ilouse of Ward
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## Exhibit (C)

## The Material evidence of the FACTS

## 19th Day of January 2015



House of Ward
145 Slater Sueet
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19th Day of January 2015



Itat at the NOII INGHAM I RLNI LNIVL RSIIY 16 APRIL 2003 the HON. SIR JACK BLAISON FBA spoke the following words. (Supplement - Provided)
"The 2003 changes and the new responsibilities given to the Lard Chief J Jstice necessitated a certain amount of reexamination of the relationship herween the udiriary and the two stronger branches of the state - the exer... and the legis lature."

It is elcar fiom the FON. SIR JACK BLATSON FBA spuke words that the of'ive of the ediciary is a sub office of the state. Therefore there will always be a conflic: of interests hetween any priwate individual who is not a sta:e company employee, AN D thece is and will always be a con lict of interests Where a Judge or a magistrate is acting in the oftice of the juciciary, where the ottice of the judiciary is a sub office of the state!

What is a State?
See (Supplement 2) from the I ondon School of Fconamics


 constraction, it is har withan hathan controh. 1) The state is now thene to secane peopiss deppest interesta, and it does







## Also:-




A aumber of things are chear from this detivition of state from the London Scbool of -cononics.

1. A state is a orponate entity by an act of recistration. A legal embodiment by an act of cienistration.

2. A sate is mothing of maturial substance but anly a coms ract of the mind

C\&G, AC\&G, ONC, HVC. MCP. MCP+i. MCSF., R,B,A. Para I.cgal. Allumey al Laws. No Assared Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and
 Omixsions lixeeptad. All Rights Reservec.
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Consider the traphic repressutation for those that are feesle of mind.
Legal emoodine ats by an act of registmation are erated as opuals by defaul: and have a poer relationship oy default
(Trincipal Tegal emhodimer: ) (Procepal Legal embodiment; (Princizal Legal embodiucn:
Any other legal person ercared loy the same prowess

It is guite clear from the graplieal refresemation shmern here and it shonhal ise quite obvious to ceven the most foeble mind thas.

When a Julqe. any Julge or Magistask is sal in there subordidate oftice te a principle legal mentudimert then that Imese or Magistrate is not a tit and proper pergon to si: in Jungemens "I any othan PRTMCIPAT I.tgia enikadinuenL And has no authority


If there is any disagreemert to the above stated FACT. Then they should take this up with the Rt. Hon Lord Chief Justice Sir lack Beatson FRA.

The Facts Are the Facts. This is the msterizl evidence of the FACTS.

C\&G, AC\&G, ONC, HVC. MCP, MCP+i. MCSF, R,R,A, Para I.cgal. Allumey al Laws. No Assared Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and Omixsions lixeeptax. All Rights Reservec.
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From the Supplement 2, Definition of Stete from the Lendon School of cconomics.
 wate that a peopie or a pinite sankiot he. "

A Coppo:ation is a :ogal cmbodiment ly an act of registration.......

Su by arserment;

 wower its andorig. bat hus interesiz of is pan
 numan coniryi


 wof the intercess of otil.




 partisa have ugred thut there is c chair...

The prime being that there is a chair and this chair is of ma meia subsante.
 of the mind that has agroed to ereate nothing of matarial substance.

This wary legal agrement a an set of fraud by doceptinn.



The State which is a ligal embodiment is of no matcrial substanes.

C\&G, ACKG, ONC, HVC. MCP, MCP+i. MCSF., R,R,A, Para I.cgal. Allumey al Laws. No Assared Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and
 Omixsions Ifxeeptad. All Rights Reserved.
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## How is it possibic that:-



- A State. which is of wo nuterial substince by default, or
- A componaion, whict is of no material substance by deffalt

How is it possible that so ne:hing of no material substance in fact 0 : which is a tietion of the mind san:-

- Hivea life of is opne ir
- Cluinod to have Authority over uncther. or
- Can be held responaible, or
- Trave a liability. or
- holds propery : ©
- Have uny form of powess or
- Be in any way of have any fom of legitimacy in cxistence, of
- Ttorlertike antate of fonce.
 diftisulty detining what a stete is. Wby see we not aurprised at chis? It is not possible to detive or give detinition to or to legitimeise

 parsonal sain of onesalf or anortar. to the expense of ancther pay

 status with in the effice of the Jucieciary as Lord Chiof Justiee that.
This Land by the name of Fingland and the (Whited Kingdon (Terivate ourpolation), which oxtents in the common walth is onn

 nuter.al subs:ance to support that fact and

 g.onemad have given their consent to legitimise this claim to surnemany and authri?

Soc Case authosity and cxhibit (B) Case Authoricy No WT 052575 . Daxid Wad. V. Warrington Borough Council,
Which by all acemmes holes executive sams within the STATF. Ahowe that of the legisla-ion ance cannot he held acemmathe to Ithen tegistation us the status of the oflicers is superior to the legristation.

The Facts Are the Facts. This is the material evidence of the FACTS.

C\&G, AC\&G, ONC, HVC. MCP, MCP+i. MCSF, R,R,A, Para I.cgal. Allumey al Laws. No Assured Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and
 Omixsions lixeeptad. All Rights Reservec.

Page 5 of 16

http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/viF content/uploads/JCO/Dozuments/Specches/beatsonj040G08.pdf

C\&G, AC\&G, ONC, HVC. MCP, MCP+i. MCSF, R,R,A, Para I.cgal. Allumey al Laws. No Assared Value. No Liability. Nu Emers and
 Omixsions IXeceptad. All Rights Reservec.

Page 6 of 16


Hlouse of Ward
145 Slater Suret
Warrington
[WA4 IIDW]
19th Day of January 2015

## Supplanemi 2

## A Delinition of the State

## Chandran Kukathas

Department of Government
Landon School af Feonomics

## c.kulathas:ïlsc.ac.uk

Presented at a conference on Dominations and Powers: The Nature of the State, University of Wisconsin. Madison, March 29. 2008

## 1. The problem of defiming the state

 asmosiutions tange from ziubs to business entarfrises to churehes. Humen beings relate so one another, however, net
 cultures. socistiss. and nat ons. The state is not tie only form of politioal association. Othet sxamples of political
 (smeh as the TN) and supranations. sreanizations (steh as the ELI. To detine the state is to account for the kend of
 collecrively more general's. This is no casy matter for a mumber of reasons. First. the state is a form of association
 captured in a shapshot. Socond. the conoept of the state i:self has a history, so any invocation of the wem will have so
 recosnized az. sraces are the zame kinds of entity. sinee they vary in size, longevity, power, political ogan fation and
 lesitimacy that are themaeivei is contentions as the notion of the sate. Althoush the state is ont uniquely dit:icult to


The aim of this paqer is to $x y$ th offer a definition of rhe state that is sensitive th these d fficultics. Mare partianarly,
 have boen prominont in political theory. The msin points a detenis are these. Ii The state should not he siewod as a
 onto the interests of the goups and individuals that fall vader its authority. hut hes interests of its owin. 3) The state
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is, to some sxtent at cast, an alien poyce: thougi it is of mman constraction, it is not within haman control. 1) The
 another, bring their ompeting intesses into harmory, or coalize any important good such as justios. froedom. or peace. While its puwer might be burdessed liven ti:ue to ime. Ihal will serve tbe interests of some not the inlerests of vil. S) The srate is rhme an institurion through which individata and groups seck ts exescise sower fomegh it is not the only such ins.ituliva; but it is also an inslitution thel exmexises puwer over individends and grops. 61 The state is,
 embodied in any persor or colletion of persons. The state exists because certuin felations obtain berween people: but the oulcoms: of thewe melations is an entity that has a life of ik mwn thueh it would be a misake tu think of it as


## I he cuncept of the sate

 any other polirical associarions, though it may inoorpomate other such associat ons. The stare is thas a supreme corporate enlity becaluse it is nol incorponter inlo any other entity. exen thungh it muegh be subordinule to olher
 structure of political autborty, und an attachment to separato plyysizul terntonec. 'The state 1 s theel: a poificai

 contain of subsume socicty. A state will have a pererratist, hut the state is not simply a govemment, for there exist
 modern Eurspe. bal has been replicated in all other parts of the world. The most important aspect of the state that


To understand :his formulation of the idea of a state we need to understand the meaning of the other terms that have
 a colloctivity of persons joine for the purpose for cerrying out wome action or acions. An assexation thus has the


 neighbor:honds of eatcgories (like hachslors or anokers or ampurcest are oot associarions, for they do not have the
 aploans In aci, it is int more an aget than is a lierd.
 multiplicity of associations and individual agents, but it is not ar association or agevt. Unless, that is. it is annstinated

 the southert slause were a suciely, since hey ampented to a umign of groups and commumities living umder zommon laws some of which shamply distinguishod it from the North but they did aot form a simgle foliticai assoeiation until
 communitiss of associations that ate ecographically contiguous. The beundariss of a sosisty are not cesy to spseity.
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since the eontiguity of socictics makes it hard to say why; one socicty jas beon left and another enterod. One way of
 furisdiction in another is a move from one sacicty to another. But this has to he qualified hecause law is not alway: conlinad by geogruphy, and peuple movirs tron one region to amother nay still be bound by tave lioum their plaves of arigir or memberstip. Furthermone. sone law feals with mariens berween people from different urisdierions. That beine true. Loxever. a sociely wald be sard to exist when there is some established sel of eustoms or convenuions or
 another. (Thus there was not nuck of a society among the different higland yeoples of Nere guinea when dhey lived int isalation fram ure another, thongh these was a weicly in Nediceal spair when Sews. Muslims arul Chistiars
 and as outsiders when in sthers.)

A society is difteren. hewever. from a communty, which is a tom different from al cssociation. A communty is a collecrivity of people whs share swne common interat and who therefore are united hy sonds of commitment to that
 classes of pexson. However, communitics are nst agents and tios are ant associations: they are markod by shered
 of what ismas or maters ane of patiee soneen to the collectivity and what matters are pritufe. Thongh otier theoties
 blood kinship (Ferdinand Tornics, this acconn of communty al mos for the possibility of commuritics that ecoss
 makes mo less sense to talk abmus. say, the un we'sity community; of the scholarly community, or the religims


 this reason. almos: all ammmitios ane partial communitiss nather than all-cneompassing or anstintive commanitics.

 collectivity of individuals who shure at understanding of ratat is public and what is private within that polity. Whether

 areuably carliert, are not political communitiss bosause tione is serisus disagremant over what comprises the public.


Nows. there is one ahilosopher who has deniod that a political socicty or a sate or at least, a well-orderod demseratic
 community. he argres. is a society eoverned by a shared eemprchensive. reigious, philosophical, or momel doerrine.


1|1 Rawls, Poliacal Jiherahism (New York: Colunhial Jnivensity Presis, sebunded.1996i. 42.
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we are preparad to countenance the oppossive use of state power to secure it.2[2] Howerer. this view west on a very
 make it impossible to roengnize as commmitics a reng: of collectivitics commonly regardod as communitis. including
 communitis share as much as a enmprehensive doctrinc. On a hmader undersanding of oommunity, a slate aar be a

 to which individtuls mitght bekong.

Thungh a stare may be a political communiry, it need not be. Yer it mast aluays he an association: a collectivity with

 frequently exist with many goverumenss. Not all governments have states. Aistrilia, for example, hals ode federal govemment. six state eorarnments, two tericorial govemonents, and muncows local governmerts. The Unitod States,
 for at least a time. operatoc withoat governments (or at least a cential gowermentl inclide Somalia from 1991 w 2600
 an cod). Many govermants ane slcarly governments of units within federal states. But there can also se govermones where there are nu siates. the Pales mann Authonly is one example.

Grovermaent is an institution shose existence procoles that of the state. A government is a person or eroup of persons
 exist a pablic. Ruling within a houschold is bot govemment. Govarnmert exists when poople acesst awillingly or not)
 adminisrration of justice, and safence seainst zxterna eneries being rypical cxamples of such matters. Vintil the

 established iudministative element of a corporane erlity.

The question nome is: what dnes it meall to say that a stare is a comorare entity? The stare is a compration in the
 lega perzon a comporation not moly has the capacity to act ut alos a liahility is be held responsible. Furtlamore, a

 there must be mure tan ome, for a single insividual canme be a coporstion Thet the corporation is also a person separate from tie parsola who somprise t. Thas a puhlic campany has ar: exiscenee bosause of its sharcholders, its
 of. those of such natuel persons. A church or a university las an existence becanse of tio offiesos who sun them and
 individugls. The sate is a corporation in the same way that these other ertities are: it is a legal person with rights and
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dutics, powers and ligsilitics, and holds propery that acerusz to no otier agents than itself. The quastion in political
 This is. in a part, a quest on of wherhar its exisrence is legitimate.
 condominiums isuch as Andorra). some international organizations, and supranational organizations are also wolitica


 themselves tharefore sares. Any stare ineorpsated by any other political espmostion therolyy ocases to he a state. Fiy

 cease to be incorporated into the one auconal state, the Unined Stake becunae a fully independent atate and aot a supranational organization.

 of taxes, or the mposition of tantfis or liceosing tees, or by aby ather means, become the property of the corforaton nst of partcular fovernments, or officials, or monanels, or any other astural persan who is abis oo cexercise authority
 only edistribute it amsong tio gencuts through whom it exercisce powser and anong others whom those agents are able.
 thougi, it will gensally be the most varacious in its appetite.
 how one understands sovercigrty. ff swereignty means suprems authority within a terriory (Philpott SEP 2003), it is
 states of the union, se those states ane not at liberty to withd:aw from the union. Howerer. authority of the various
 range of isates. To take just one cxample, if cannot amend the C'onstimion without the agroement of tworthits of the stales. Indead rwiy naticmal staters find thenselves constained aol :ust betatise they exist als ferieated polities but becanse thai membership of other organizarions and associazions, as woll as their tranty commiments. limit what they

 olher pultical curporations.

One aspect of reing a stare that is sometimas considered best identificed by the enncepr of sosere gnty is ins

 in order to exist, they are nut uricgue in haxing geographicall extension, Irnvinces leanships and supranaliunal molities sueh as the EL, are also definod by their teritorics. Morooner, tesidence within cerain bosers does not nake prople
 trasel. Nor is the states capasity to control the mowement of soople within or across is territary essential wo its being
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a state, for many sates have relinguisled that right to same degres by membership of other associations. Citizens of
 entire control owar such territnry. Webas well-known definition of the state as a bock lasing a monnonly on the
 conrmb of the means of using violence, vaites osnsiderably with the sratc, not only logally brit alw in fact.
 to other forms of political association beyond their ter moia honders. States may belong to itiferwiononi bryurtioftom
 loosely inregrared defense and rading bines (anch as ASF.AV) or more sisstantially integrated enocrmmenra asociations


 which was from $1925-46$ the first assoc atod state of the Unitod States. The Flipine sta:e was responsible for domestic
 relations of a ramber of states aic handed by other states Spaia and France ase responsihe for Andora, the
 tenitories with the tigit to boconse satce but whici have not yot (anc may nover) becone stetes. Puert: Riso, for
 enjoging limitod ascial security bancfits, bit not sult oer to Fateral inoome tax: it a mulikely to become an independent state.

The state is. in the erd. only one form of politieal association. Indeed, the range of diftierent forms of politieal
 it a given is that it is. in spite of the varicty of oter poliziea forms, the mex: s gnificant tge of tmman collecrively at worle in the world loday.

## A theory of the state

Accordine to Mertin Van Creveld. the stete emereed soctuse of the limitations of the indumerable forme of politiect orean zation thet existoc before it.3[3] The erwial irnowation that mede for develoment of the state was the idza of the corporation as a legal pe-xm, and thus of the state ite a legal persom, In mabled the emergence of a pulitical entity whose existance was not tied to the existenes of patt cular persons sush es chisfis, lords and kings of partisulat
 advantage was what canzed the state to enorge. if seens elea ennuģh that such an surity did eome ino being. The
 F.mpire. or in the Groek city-scates.
$3|3|$ Van Crevelk, The: Rise and Dealine of ihe Siate (Cambrilese Cambridge Ciniversity Press, 1999), 52-8.
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Having accounted for the concept of the stats. however. We now heed to onsider what kind of theory of the state
 been peoceupied with the problem of giving an acemunt of its moral standing. To ho sure, philosophers had alway:


 contity.
 things. from the doeline $a^{2}$ the poses of the chureh against kingdoms and principalities to the developmenr of new

 of national unitication Attempts oy theorsts $: o$ describe the state that was emerging we as much a part of be history of the sate as ane the political changes and legal innovations. Bxdin, Hobbes, Spinoza, Loskc, Monterpisu, Hume,
 offer theories of the state dusing the course of ite emergenes, though theorizing went or: well into the $23^{\text {th }}$ eanury in
 offered thouries of the state in the sense thes they triec to explan what it was thet gave the state its point: how it
 this was not the conaal philosnphical enneem. Nomative theory, so called, is probably a relarively reosn: invention.)

The question, however, remains; what theory best accounds for the stale? Since there is time and space only fur ayme sugecstions rather thar: for a full-seale defene of a new theory of the state, I shai come to the point. The theorist
 Humans insights. To appociate witar Hume has to offor, we shou d onsider br efly what the main alrernarives are, belure tumeng igrin to Hume.

We might usefully do this $\mathfrak{y}$ posing the question ir a way that Hume would have appreciated: what interest does the
 the interest of exeryore in peace or stability or arder. Fach develaped this answer in politically similar circumatarece: relgious wars thal reflexted the declining power of it church tryine to buld on of politioul jafluenere. Boh tinkers dafended concentions of the state as ahsolurist (or at least ighty anthoritarians in make elsar that the point of the state wals to preserve onder in the tuce of ctallenges to the peaze posed by the Churen or by propunents of group rights
 war signifíed by its absence and threatened by its there iclien, Crucially, for bedh thinsers, the state hed wo be conceived as a single sovereigh entity. whose posers were not divided or ts be shared cither by difterent branches of gevernment or by different slemenls in a mrand constiution Anung the problems with this siew is taal it is net clear that the state is neded to secure order, nor plausible to thi k that dividec ewarment is impossibis. The conception of
 that are destructive of order rand even self-destructive) but also becanse order has existed without states. indesd, one of
 the reselt of agreement voluntarily to transfer porect to a corporate agent sines the state of war is not sondusive in
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making or keeping agroements. It does not loos as if the point of the state is to serve our interest in order even if that ware utr sule of primary inlerest

Another view of the point of the state is that it serwes our interest in fiedom. Two theories of this sind were offered
 natural man is tanstomed into a social bsing ruled dibesly and indirectly by others. The weowery of tis fisedom is
 T.iving in sush a state we can he free as beings who are, ultimarely, whigeer not to others but to lawe we give
 story, bur ore with a smilary happy ending. The anrithesis of the state is the stare of nanure, whish is a srare of

 of all. The state serves our interest in freetom by tirst serving our inverest in justce. If Hobbes thought that whatever the state deereed was, o: ipso, just, Kant aeld that justice prespposod the exisranee of tioe state. What's diftieult to
 realm, ratioer than simaly :o egroe to sbide by the requisments oz morality or firm difecrent cthical communitics. Why
 the problcon of freedom in Roussem's aczount. If, in realigr, there is a con-licr bewosn different incerests, and some
 cqually well since all ane free when governed hy lawe that refleer the general will. T this is the ease, the srate serves


Hegel also thinks that or:r doepest interest is in fiedom, but for him it can only be fally engoy witen we live in a
 particala: interest hut the existence of an cthisal life in whish corfliere of interest are properly mediated and
 of conercte uniwersaliry: a realm in which fresdon is civen full expressior bacanse, for the first time, people are able to relale to one anotber als individulas. This is pessible because the state bringe inte existeace somebirse hal eltad
 the world.

The most scrinus challenge in Hepel's vies is that nffered by Manz. The state mighe appeat to be the stmethre within


 disercte intereats remsined in destructive compstition with one anotior. More specifically, this con-liet remsined maniess in the class disisions: ir society, and the stille could never acmum to mure thim a vehide for the imbenesls of the ruling elass. Frosdom would be ach owod not wien the state was fultilled bat when it was supssoded.

What is prevent in Man bul missing in the freviogly crilicizad theories is a ken semse that the stale might rol so much serve humsen interests in penerel as serve particalar interests that have managed so cepture it for their own purposen, This is why, for Vurx, sutial I-ansfermation requires, firs, the tipure by the working chass of the apparalus of the sate. The cause of human freodom would be samed. however, only when the conditions that made the state
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incvitable were owercome: scarety and the division of labour, which boought with them alienation, oxmpetition and class :enthut

What is mose persussive in Marx's salalysis is his acomont of the srare as an instiourion that embedies the conflict of
 the expoetarion that particular irterests will one day be eradieated. Wha: is missing is any sanse that the sta:e itself
 advantage. To esain an appreciarion of tlese dimensions of the state, we nod to turn. at least inirially, to Hume.
 varicty of issucs bur not this one directly. His anglysis is to be found in part in his Tesuise, in an exel smaller patt


 finally becanse secidente of histony pashod the prosess in one way or another.
 paychology suggest enoperatior is uppofitalus. The answer s that repeated intanation s meval to individual the
 emergence of socicty means the simulanemas smergence therefore of two other instimutons without which the idsa of sociely is membincless: justiee and propery. Suciely, justice and properly w-exish tor to cone of thea and bave ary
 scancity, haterest accounts tor the eraergence of other institctions, such as lans, and gevernaent, though in these cases there is an element of contingency. Goverement arise besause war as sminent soldiers oome to commard authorify
 entrenched and is ther firther cstablist:d wier: authosition in power formalize it. and judges and magistrates regularize it by selling the puwer of precedent, In the course of time, puple becoms athached to he laxes, and even more attached ts particular authorities. both of which oome to acopire lives of their own. A sense of allegiance is born.
 their oam. They come into the world without human design, and they dwelop not at the whim of any individual or by
 Government, once in plase, will srolvi as i: responds to the interests then shape and thy to control it. The sutire
 eminence. The stats, in tha analysis, is not the constnetion of tmman reason rootad in individual consent is a pelitical sellement; to: a product of the dearees of divire providenee, even if the comstruction uppeors ever so periect il is simply the residuc $n=$ what might (anachronistically) be called a Tharwinian strngele. What survers is what is most fir to de se.

The srate in this atory is the prothee of clanee: it is nothing moer than the way politica interests have sealed for mow the guestion of buw power should be allucated and exercised. 1. would be a miatake to think thit they ceuld de this simply as they pleasod, as if on a whim. The face of hmman psycholngy and the logic of atrategic melations will
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constiain action, just as will the prevailing balanes of powe: But chance exents can bring aboyt dianatic and umexpected chungus

The important thing, howevar, is finat for Hume the sate canrot he accounted for by teferring to any deeper momel
 institutions. is a cvolutionery product. Erolution has no purpose, no end, and no prospeet of being controlled.
 of hman diversity of customs, laws, and political systems. The was also very moh aware of the extent to which
 of interesi confliet. and this ondition was capahle of palliation bu:t resistant to eure. Al hmman institutions hac to be
 gencral tendencias we migh: ohseres, Hume sugecses, thoy are the tendency to authority and the tendency to liherg.
 liberty to make it perfest. But there s no paticular balamee to be atrack, for covery point on the scale is a posaible eguilizium point, eath wi.h its own advandages amd disadvanuges. To undersamd the gale is lu recognize that we are in this pualicamme and that them is om firmal resulation.
 presents the modern Furopean srate as zhifting uncasily berwoen two compeing rendenciss. One tendency is rexards what he walled sociely as an enterptise alssoxialion: a concep.jon of the twe ol the sate as hoving a purpotive
 rusing levels of happiness. The other :endency is towanes the iden of society as a civil associztion: a sonception of the state as having not particular purpose boyond making possibla its members pursuit of theit sur: saparate onds. Tac
 of simber one kird or the other. Hame's theory of the stare shares wirh Oakeshott's gocount this unvillingless an set
 if it soems mest particularly satisfying, I suspset its abont as satisfying a portrait of the sate as we can lops to got.
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The Companiss Act 2006
"44 Lxeculion of docurnents.
[1) Under the lam of Fngland and Wales or Nor:hern Ireland a document is executed by a company-(a) by the affixing of its common seal, or (b) by signature in actordance with the folowirg previsions. (2) A document is validly exeruted by a company if it is signed on hehalf of the company- (a) by two authorised signatories, or $\langle$ bl by a director of the company in the presence of a witness who atlests the signature, (4) A document signed in aceordance with subsection [2; and expressed in whatever words, to be executed by the compary, has the same eftect as it execuled urder the commur seal of the cumpancy."

The legal effect of the statute is that documents and deeds must be signed on hehalf of the company by a direc:or in the presenee of a witness, or by two autherised signatorics. Without adhersence to these provisiors no mortgege contracts can be considered duly executed thy a company and their terms are therefore legally unerforceable, as was elearly irrelied when the Cout of Appeal endorsed the view of Lewison J in the case of Williarns v Redeard Led [2011]:
"Oor a clocument to be executed by a compary, it must eiter bear the company's seal, or it must comply with s. 14 (4) in order to take effect as if it had been executed under seal. subsection (4) requ res that the document mast not only be mace on behalt of the company by complying with one of the two alternative requirements for signature in s. 44 引): it must also be "exprecsed, in whatever worcts, to be executed by the company. That means, that the document must puroort to have been signed by persons held out as authorised signetories and held out so be signing on the company's hehalf. It must be apparent from the face of the document that the people signing it are daing something more than sipning it on the company's behalf. It must he apparent trat they are cigninge it on the company's behalf in such a way that the document is to be treated as having been executed "by" the company for the purposes of suasection (4), and not merely by an agen- "for" the company."

In addition to this, A company which is by detantt of no material substance carnot ammir a crime. However. The Directors and the secretury of a cesmpary ure liable for cony fruuduke or criminal netivities of thut company.

Withut ill will or vexation.
For and on behalt of the Principal legal embodiment by the title of MR DNVID WARD. I or and on behalf of the allorney General of the Ilouse of Ward For and on behalf of Baron David of the House of Ward.
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## Exhibit (E)

## The Insanity of Tax

## On and for the record
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## There is a loaf of bread on Morrison's Shelf.

Tapre is a Inar of bread on Morrison's shelt. But it dildr't just appear the'e by magir, the Inar of bread started Its joumay on John the tarmers' tarm.
Whoups, harle on a rri nute,
John the farmer pays council :ax on his hard stendirg and that council tax is added to the cos: of the losf of bread

So Iohn the tarmer nses farly in the morning to plough the fiele and plant some gram.
lusil haldi i lighll lurr.
In the tractor there is red diesel fuel and that fuel carries a fuel zuty of $36 \%$ rlus the vat on the duty, plus the vat on the diesel and all that tax aces to the cost of the loaf of bread.

So now john has ploughed the field to plant the grain but the gra $n$ is not in the ground yet, the grain has to be sawed.

Just hang on,
In the tractor there is red diesel "uel and that fuel carrias a fuel zuty of $36 \%$ plus the vat on the dity plus the vat on the $d$ esal and all that tax gces to the cost of the loaf of bread.
 rea dy for haryesting.
Wight a minute,
John the farmer pays council tax on his hard stending and that council tax is added to the cos: of the loaf of bread.
So now it is time for harvesting John the farmer fi'es up the big, monster combine harvester and hanvests the field.
 the vat on the dicsel and all that tax poes to the cost of the loaf of breod.

Now John the farmer has a bigpile of hay and a whole pile of grain, so iohn the farmer calls up Lob the haulage truck oriver to carry the grain to the grain storage silo.
Sop the thus right theme.
Bob haulage truck driver drives e truck or the road, now this has white dicsel tuel in the tank and whit diesel tucl carries a duty of $80 \%$ plus the vat on the dusy plus the vat on the diesel and all grat tax goes to the cost of te lcaf of bread. Also Bob haslage truck Jriver pays road tax to drive on the road, also Bob haulage truck driver lives in a house anc pays council tax and all that ax yomes lu line cxosh of the luaf ul tareace.
 council tox ane all the cmployees of thet compony live in houses ond they all poy domestic council tax and all that tax is added to the cost of the loaf of bread.

Nre we beginning to see a trend here? So the grain sits in the storage silo until it s callec upon by the flower nill.
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5:op, my ears ere bleedirg ynd my brain hurts.
No Pain no gain knowing the truth is a pa nful experience and if vou can't stend the pain go back to sleep and keep paping the tax.
Are you irnsiancii
Aren't we all, we have been doina this insanity for don sey's vears, now shut up and take it,
Noodoc.
Bob the haulage truck driver drives a truck on the road, 10w this has white sliesel fuel in zhe tank and wh t diesal fuel carries a duzy of BJ\% plus the vat on the duty plus the vat on the diesel and all that tax goes to the cost of the loaf of bread. Also bok
 and all that tax zecs to the cost of the loaf of bread. Why, why, why.
Shut up and take it.
UNG No.
Now the grain is at the flower im II.
Sop plit!t rics, I cal"'L lake ary rrome.
Shut up and take t, take it,
take it,
take the pain what doesn't kill you will only ma ce you strorger.
Ine flower mill company pays commercial council tax and all the employees of that company live ir houses and they all pay darmestic ecomeil ax and all Lhat lax is added to the cash of the loat of turead. Whimper!
Somebody has to pary the tax man row tokc it,
Howing made the gro in inte flower now the flower is ready to go to another storape depet. St Suelt it upl! The flower mill calls Bob the heulage truck driver to carry the flower to the storage depot.
Bob the ha ulage truck driver drives a t'uck on the road, opw this has wite diesel fuel in tre tank and whit diesel fuel carries a
 houlape truck driver pays road tax to drive on the rood, also Bob naulore truck dr ver lives in ahouse and pays vouncil tax and all that tax goes to the ccst of the loaf of bread
Toe storage cepot compary pays commercial council tax and all the employees of that company live in nouses and they all pay domestic ccuncil ax and all that tax is added to the cost of the oaf of bread. Do you nave a gun?
Somewhㄴ.e:
 bukery.
Not soyicf arythina anymore Bob the houkge truck d-iwer drives o truck on the road, now th is has white diesel fuel $n$ the tank and whit ciesel fuel carries a duty of $80 \%$ plus the vat on the cluty plus the vat on the diesel and all that tax gces to the cos: of the loaf of bread. Also lob haulage truck criver pays road tax to drive on the road, alsc Bob haulage truck driver pays lives in a hnuse and prays tourcil tiax and all that liax gaes ta the cost of the laal al bread.
 domestic ccuncil :ax and all that tax is added to the cost of the loaf of bread.
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Can l linct †al Eurn?
 now: can we?
Silence:
so the bakery calls us Bob to take the bread to Morrison's.
Silence.
 dusy of $30 \%$ plus the vat on the duty plus the wat on the dicsel and all that tan poes to the cost of the loof of bread. Also Bob haulage truck driver pay road tax to drive on the road, also Bob naulage truck dr wer lives in a house and pays councltax and all that tax goes to the cost of the loaf of bread

Morrison's is a that Aompany pays commercial coumcil tax and all the employees ot that company lue in houses a ad they all pay

What you lockina for in thet draw?
Nothina:-

Where you going?

What's that in your pocket?
Nothing:
Well don't be too long, you nave work to do soyou can keep paying the tax man: And when you get old you're going to naed plenty of money to spend on the grandkids, things like mokile phones ard Xbox s and computer games: the coor closes.
 than he should. He does not care if it is sold or it poes stale. It does not matter who pays for the bread weather the purch sser is employed or unemployed t's all the same :o the tax ma 1 . So how much is the tix value on a loaf of bread on Moriso i's shelfi
If all the tax was removed from the loaf of oread just leaving the cost of each loaf inclusive of all the growing, manufacture and transport costs, even al owing for some profit for all the processes involved how much wou dit cost? The answer to that question will astonis^ you. Ihese calculations have been made by two chartered accountarts burning the midnight oil and

 should be 15p. Duch! Isn't that amazing? Vow toke this exerole and epply it across the boarc. From a lollypop to a colour TV. to the ta'mac on the road, to the cost of a house or a car.
 exarnple. Let it sink in for $\bar{a}$ while.

There's more Whe pay $24 \% \sigma^{\circ}$ our income out of our pross eernine to the NHS. I know if you are anployec you only pay $8 \%$ but you boss pays $16 \%$ and who do you think earrs that $16 \%$ ? You do, you 2 y your part of your bosses $24 \%$ as wel. Now the NHS pays for a lot of things such as Hospitals and staf ${ }^{2}$ anc medicatio and ambulances and unemploymen: from the departinent of
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 schools and the bin men and the park keeper and fire briande; Well this is also true out as that money is spent the tax tian rakes back in $85 \%$. Now the question is when do you get tre walue of that money? And the answer is never:
Newer, ever, ever and if you can find it then let meknow.
Tere's more. This meens thet the ofly moncy pou pet to keep is the $15 \%$, or $s$ tyes That $15 \%$ pass for cyeryth ne clls, pour home and furnishir $\mathrm{Ps}^{2}$, the car, the holiday, the food, on and on. Yes youlive your life on $15 \%$ and that is a fact, oh yes and some credit ca'ds. Now that is a very sobering thought. This is exactly the reason why we are zll broke. So what is it that the tax ran does that makes him worth so much of your life energy??? Anysody please let me know.

There's more. The opsosite side of the soi The cost of a $£ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ house is $£ 13 \mathrm{~K}$ you could save up fo- -t et ir say 5 ycars on minimum wage and suy the rouse cash with no mortgage. Having a mortgage means you pay for thres houses anc only get to keep one. So you would save the cost of two houses, that's mor ey back in jour pocket that the bank will never see. Minimum wage would be eqlal so current day without paying tax say $£$ bo per hour. You could buy your car cast, no loan. wfe would be a
 netional debt. We would have roueds that do not wrexk our curs. Let the mind wonder, Artd don't foreet that all tax is illepal, it contrawenes the bills of exchange act and is in act of froud without the consent of the governed, ank the consent of the governed is not a prese table fact.

So the last observaion is tris. We pay ell this tax for the Fi ema" and the policemart and everstocdy els: who acts paid from the publit purse. But all those paic from t'o public purse also pay tax to the turse of $85 \%$. How insane is that?....

It is no wonder that this country is commercially ruined and cannot compete in the world market $p$ ace. Ihat is just bad business management. hlame Parl ament. I his country is not economirally slable. I ubared herond all rerognition.

## What's wrong with the world?

What is wrong with the world and what can we do about it?

## Lots and lots

Witaut ill will or vextition.
I or ant on behalf of the Principal legal embodiment by the tille of MR DAVID WARD For and on behalt of the attorney General of the House af Ward lar and on behalf of karan Dand of the lionice of ward
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## Exhibit (F)

## No Body Gets Paid

## On and for the record
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## No Body gets paid and nobody pays for anything ever:

## The Facts

What does thes mean? Whar happenod and when did this happen and what sthe nureome?
 from the publie rocord. It is howewer well kowe tact that the rectors rewrite the publie reeod to suit their needs. It has also



A commentary en the book muds by 1.L. Grequry
"Betveen 1919 ond 1925 a co-operative ana successfu! effort wos made to repiace the monetary susrems of the world upon afinn foundotion, and the internationol goid' stondard was theredy restcred. in the last fevy years a voriery of circumstances have combined to imperis this work of restoration. ihe collopse cij the gola' standord in a number of ratv moteriol producing
 The most important country to be driven off was Greot Britain, which had reverted to pold after the War by the Gold Standard' Act of Aprii 1925. The Goid Stanoiard (Amendment) Act, passed' on September 25th 193i, by suspending the goia standiord in this country, ied not oniy to suspension by the scandinovion countries and by fiviand, but also to suspersion in irebond and inoia. other countries folioned, inciuding Japan and the U.S.A"

Followed by the usual diselsiner:-
"Note: The views expressed on Mifises.org are not necessarigy those of the Mises institute."
We find it very strange how these days that there is always a diselaimer and nobody stands by their words.
 wonder why?

Su was the guld mandand Aot ahnol shed and is thens uther evidence tu suppert this?
 that!!! People usod to get paic witi real money!!! How ehsurd. Back in the day and for thousands of years merehants used to usc
 safe in exelamge for atashicr nove to the value of what was deposited in the gold smi=hs safe.
So what appenci?
Frectional lending happened were it was legalisod by the zovernment by agreament tha: the Bank; could lerd mone money in the
 moncy but a aote sapperted by tie money on deposit in the Bank (The gole and the silyer) This is also lioence frand legalised by
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agreement. Frand is still fraud legalisod of no.. Fiand by geres nent is still traud. The Baniss do not haxe ensugh moncy on deposil to suppurl the whles in citw ithon.
 the Banks had ereated hy lisensed sgresment with the government.
 there was a gold saadard Act then the Bank note would be semething of perceived value is it would have a relationship wi:h somethinge of walle on tuposit in the fann of guil or silver.

What if there was ro gold or silver to give the Bank note some salae? What then? What then is the walme of a Bank note? If there

 value. It would be Monopnly Moner. How can we show th en be factual? Simple. .

Take some Bank notes to the Fank of Fingland, walk up to the cashier ard demand the moncy tha: the Rank of Fng and promizes

 need?
 before 1882 ? Did we not need any Bills of exchange Act betore 18S2?? W"y is this date signiticant??

Howe about grou take ont a loan and then azk the Rank to prowide the satee of rhe fords dating back loy thee aceounts and be
 provide the lissterie recond ot the suuce of the fieds.

Wbat really bappens when you eater a retail outlet and purcbase some goods with Dank of Lugland l'romissory notes? Yot then apponach the eashier sud make an offer of pagment. wh ch is a pise of paper from the bank of Fingland where there is a promise to pay lut no actual pasment takes place. It is not poisible to pay for anything without mones. A Bank Note is not murney.

The cashier then pives fon a reveipt fir the offer of fratment. So in effece pieces of paper lave changed harnls both with weds and mubers on them. This conelies with the Bills of Exewnee act 1882 as two pieves of puper to the same perceived vulue bus changed hancs. But when d. dyuu cver rexurn to the retail outlet and PAY for the Gioods with moncy??

When cid you suer pay for anythine with real money?: A Bank Fote has never boen money. There is no monctary sys:om. The
 1 cill buy 20 potnds a. "ualidence or 20 pouads ol teliel?
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 econonites without money:" Commeree is a sasm. How is it possible for there to be Debt when there is ro moncy? Every

 be kept. Even when there was rea: moncy in the sera of pold and silver coins the weight of the silver zo ns adding up to I poind
 happenod. Stop liviag in dream lund und fiece the fucts.

What is 5100.00 BPS: British sterling sijver weighed in troy sunces': Well 100 pounds is 100 lb is 45 kg . This is more than 25 kg
 two wan lifl. It wever happened. Ever. When are poogle goire te wake up and smell the cotfos Bens? Face the Facts!!
 paid. The Ranke's and the politicians are geing to he really pised wien they find mot they gnt conred as well!! $\mathrm{f} 100,000,000 \mathrm{i}=$ still nothing of value because there is mo anoney. $100.000,000$ tises $0-0$. Zene These are the lixts.

It would be sind lfat 1 ammakine this all an ats 1 ? and the Bankers and the eovernments have been making it wis as they go alone for years and sobody swer noticed. Sonsebody made it all up. Sie the real zuessiom is thr s!!!
 or the docunent containing the deails of the obvious is then the dwemmented fact that cannot be challenged as the: is no meterial physical eridence to the tamiriry of the obvions.
 t'niversiry' of F.dintmegh Med cal School. It is clas that Sir Arthur C'oman Doygle was a learned man who was wery skilled in andyitiaul and deductive reasuning. lirom these wrilines by Sir Arihur Conan Duple there is the lolowing.





The Sign of the Four (18501, Is the socond nowel featwing Sherlock Holmes written by Sir Artiour Coran Dosle. "Whem jou have dimisater' the impossible, whatever revtains, honever improbable, raust be the trath?"
 that there is no material evidence to zupport the claim. The very lack of mater al physical evidence to apporr the claim is the evitauce liwt is the material evidente thin proves the. the claint is tivath.
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Sirnsiter the liolloxime:-

 There would not be any material physicel widence to any missing diselosur but the ahsence of this material physiasl exiderne is the evidenter of the framit.

* Agreed Consideration by both partes. There must be a corsideration by botl: partics! There must be mater al evidence of this som sideratiun, Whene Itamis are concerned iten this woule be the neword ats to ihe wurce of the finds lent to the Borrower. If the Bank has not providsd this material svidenos of the souree oz the funds then the bunl. heve mol given my uonside-aliom and zarmol suffer ary leos.
* There should lse a signed agreement hy hoth parties. Withuat the signature from huth paties ther there is no nesterial evidenoe to the agreonent or soutese.
 document is exocuted by a company-(a) by the aftixing of its common saal, or (b) by signature in acondanoc with
 (a) by two au:howisos signgtorics, of (b) by a director of the onnpary in the presence st a withess who attents the sigrnitu-e.
 activities are fivadulsent from the stat.
(Acoonnt Holder) Signs tio Bank's Loan Contract or Morteage or credir card agremont the Bank: officer docs not so there is no : agrearnemi (т canltaci).
(Account Holder) Signature transforms the Lasan Contract into a Financial Instrament worth the Value of tio agreec amarunt.

(Finameial InstmmentI Assat Depositec with the Bank by the IAezoun Holdzr).
 signatues.

Bank Falls to Give (Azcount Holderi a Reseizn for Dewosit of the (Account Holders) Asiset or eommercial instrument.

Bank Fatk to Disclose to the (Account Holder) that the íAcoolnt Holder) Signamue Created Neve eredit that is claimod by the I lank ais a I oan lo the I kinuser
Loan Amestn: Codirad te an Acoount for Bortower's Lise ns a crodic

Bank Deceiver PubHe at laics by calling this process Mortgese Lending. Loan and similar
 Bank
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Bank Provides None of own Moncy or conmacial instruments so the Bank ha: No Consideration ir the transection and so ue True Contract exists.
 Tisclosu's wo no True Contract ex stz.

Bank prorided no ralue or consideration.
Bank Deceives iAcroant Holder) that (Account Helder) is Debter not Cesditer

 a sab oftioc of the same compans. Ses Exhibit ICI The naterial cridence of the fact. The Court has an obligation to support whims licensed by the stale. There is a thar conilice of interests heres.
Bank Demands (Accol:nt Holder) payments without Just Cause. wh. ch is Deception. Theft and Fraud
Hank Sells | Acoorni Itolder) linuncian Instrument be a lhord party lier prolit
Sale of rhe Financial Instmment corfims ir has intrinsie value as an Asset yet that walue is not erediced to the (Acon:nt Holderi) as Creator and Deposion of Jhe Insiruntert.
Bank Hides rruth from the (Account Holder), not admirring Theft, nor shating procoeds of the wale oe the (Azcomet Holders)
ITbimial Instrament with the (Avedun. Holder) and creater ol the libancial instrumen.
 restrictions on transwtions of Loan Contracts.
The Security ineludine the Loen Contract is sold to invistors. despite the fact that suel Securitization is Illegal

Only the Holder in Due Course can elaim on the Laen Contract.
Hank Deceives the (Aceoum Itolder) hall the Bank is llokter in the Course of the man Combant
Bank makes Fraudulent Charges to (Account Holder) for Loar payments which the Bank has no laufial right w sinee it is no: the Ifoller in I) be (course on tre I arar Conitach.
Bank advancoc, none of nom money to (Acenunt Holder) hot only monctizad (Acomant Helder) signame
Dank Interest is Lsurivus basod on here beiag No Noney Provided to the (Accuual Ilelder) by lae Bank wo that any inuerest charged ar all womald he Tkarions
Thus BANK"LOAN" TRANSACTIONS ARE UNCONSCIUVABLE:
 Need forsezarily
Bank Exploits (Acoourt Holderi by denar:ding a Redundant anć Utjust Mortgage.
Hank Deceives (Acco.m Helfer) that the Marlagge is nexfed is Securily
Mortgage Contract is a soosnd Financial Instrument Creatod by the (Aocount Holder)

Bank sel's the iAccount Holder) Mortgas: Contraer for protit without disclosure or share oz procecds to (Accoant Holder)
 Cerator and Depssitor of the Montzage Connazt
Bank Deceives (Accoant Hosder) hal Bank is the Itulder in Due Course or the Morgage
Bank Fxtorts Enjost Payments from the (Acomut Holder) under Taress with threar of Foroclosme
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Bank Enists Lawyens willing to Decelve (Acosunt Holder) and Cout and Exploit (Acoourt Holder)

Bank"s Tauycers Theceive and Fxploit Cmirr to Defraud (Acosun:Holder)
The g.wernment liesnse rhe Bank were a license is permizsion of pertake in ar acrivity which would orlarwize be illsgal. The



Sox-Fxhitail (C) Tw macria exidence of the Fank
The Judiciary is a sub oftice of the (STATEI Company and this is contirmed hy the Rt. Hon. Lord ehisf Justiec Sir Jack Beatson I 18 A , I this is a fial ton and for the reford.

The State iciomparyl has no legel nuthorly to grarl the license
See Lixhibit (B) Case vulhurily No W[-052571' as delirilive materisl evidence ol this liet thal the govented have not given their consent or the sgal authority for the (STATF) (Gowemment) company to creare legialation or grant liesnse. Tlis is a fact on and for the zetord.

Dank Steals (Account Ilohter) Mortgaged Property with Legul luptnity
Bank Helds iAccount Holderi Liable for any ou:standing halance of original Loal plt:s costs
 Charest, Fses Charged. Jercoses of its Lending Cancity due to (Account Holdse) Morteaged Asset and by Accuisition of
 (Aceonnt Holder) Signarure onec the Loan Accos.nt has bsen closed.
 Mortgage Asset. Reing Treceived inco the u yust Stams of a Jebt Slave, Paying Tiferime Weelth to the Fank. Paying Unjust Fees

This the RANK MORTGAGE IOAVBITSINF.SS IS UNCONSOTONABIE.

## So what is the material evidence that is missing?

- First there is the contract or agreement which bears no signature tiom the bank or the company seal.
- 'The true accounting from the Bank (Company) that shows the source of the funds that the Bank lent to the borrower.
- Full disclesume from the Barik (Campany) to the fate that it is the (Actomat Itolder's) sigmalure that created the commercial instrument and the asset which is the true sauce of the funds.
- The consent of the governed (Exhibit (B))
- The recorded legal authonity on and for the record. (Txhibit (TB)
 Omixsions Iixeeptad. All Rights Reservec.
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Facts are tacts because they are the facts. Facts have material substance. The material evidence of the facts is something of material substance. When there is no material sabstance to the facts then there is Bill and Ben making things up as they go along

These are the FACTS. This is the devanemed evidence of the licts. It is the very lack of the material evidence to the contrary to these documented facts which is the very evidence itself.

Whee thene can be no plysiesal evidenee presented as material evidence that lie opposite is Irue, IS By Delault the Facl, And Traud.

We are all victims of this same criminal and intentional and UNCONSCIONABLE crime. This is inclusive but not limited to:-

- The lawyers
- The Barristers,
- The Judges,
- The Members of Pariament (MP's)
- The Banking Staff.
- The Polive,
- The people of this land.

Who is not a victim of this (:NCONSCIONABL.F crime?
These are the Facts and the decumented Facts on and for the record. These facts stand as facts until somebody presents the material evidence which stands as fact to the contrary to these stated, documented on and fior the revord fuct:

## Who is the Fool? The Fool, Or the Fool that follows the Fool.

Without ill will or wexation.
For and on hehalf of the Principal leggal embodiment by the title of MR DAVTI WART, For ambl on behall ol' the attormey (iemeral ol the Itouse of W'art For and on behalf of Baron David of the I Fouse of Ward
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## Exhibit (G)

## An Englishman's Home is his castle
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## An Englishman's Home is his castle

Queen Elizabeth the aevond took a verbal oath when she enlerod into service (Stalus Servunt) of her own free will. This uath was to uphold the Linws and "TRADITIONS" of this limel.
 the casualties of War, are just that, the casualties of war. He that knowingly enters into an act of war knowingly or unknowingly has weill cutered into an act of war of his own wolition. The oceupsurs defendirg fle Caste cannot he. hed culpable for any casualties of war ever thousth these casaalties of war shmald end up dead. Ihis is recognised from the historic "traditions" of this land

A caxtle doctrine (also known as a castle law or a defence of habitation law iz a legal dnetrine that designates a perswn's abode (or any legally-oceupied place [e.p., a vehicle or workplacel) as a place in which that person has
 (cadly fores) to defend the nselves against an intruder, free from legal responsibility:prosecution for the wonsequances of the foree used. ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Typically deadly force is considered justified, and a defence of justifjatle havnicide applicable, in
 The dectrine is not a detined law that ean oe invoked, but a set of prineiples whigh is incoporsred in some form in the law of many states.

The legal concept of the inviolability of the l:ome has been known in Western Civilization sime the age of the Roman Repuhlie. ${ }^{[4}$ The term devives fisum the historie Finglish eommon law diewan that "an Fnglishman's horne is his castle". This concept was established as Enẹlish law by 17th century jurist Sir Edward Cole, in his The Institutes of the Lants
 phrase, making it "a man's hume is hin casale", whech dereby hecane simply the oxste doutrine. "The tern has heen used in England to imply a person's absolute right to excluate anyone from his home, ilthungh this has always had restrictions, and since the late twentieth eentury bailiffs have also had inereas ne powers of entry. -

There is a claim here that sinee the late ewentieth century bailiffs have a so had inereasing prwers of entry. Thiz is incereet because a Duilif! in the twentieth century is a crown cumpration servart and the crown authrity has no aurhority without a legal agrecment that the crown has an authority. Thee is no material ewidenee to the fact that there is any legal agrement. This faet has rum been emminned. (ase Authority No W105257F Duvid ward and Warringtor Borough Council $30^{12}$ Day or Way 201? at court tribunal.

C\&G. AC\&G. ONC. JNC. MCP. MCPI I. MCSE. RBA.Pan Legal. Attomsy at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability No Errers and
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I he eromm has mower of entry. The erown Bailitts do net have power of entry. It iz done.
Any Crown Authority stons at the bounday of the property, To proceed beyond this point is a reeognised ant of War.
Where no such legal atroument exists then the Duilifl who is unly a Bailifl by tille unly has no powers of entry. Unless that audharity can le presenred in the form of a lega agreemene which must vontain upon it two wet ink ximulures, ine of with must he yours.

So : Bailiff has no power of entry without your consent to do so anc an assamit upon the castle is a recognised her of war.

We have case law to support this fact where for example, the Railift was smashed over the head with a mik Rorrle.

## 4 dehtor is where there is prouf of Debt. Where there is nu proof of debs then ron are not of dehtor.

Case Law in the UK Quens Bench. hup.:'www.cealingwithbailiff.co. Co.
Vaughan v MeKenzie [1969] 1 QR 557 if the debror strikes the bailiff over the head with a fioll milk borrle after meking a foceod entry, the debtor is not griity of assault hecause the helinff was there illegally, likewise R. v lucker at Ilove Trial Centre Crown Court, December 2012 if the Jeblor cives the bailifl a suuv slans.

If a person strikes a trespe5ser who has refinsod to leave is not guilty of an oftence; Davis vi.isle|193@] 2 KR 434

Licerse to enter must be refused BEI ORL the provess of Levy sturls. Kay v Hibbert |ly7]| Crim LR 226 or Multhews


A bailiffendered a mespasser is liable for penaltics in tort and the entry may be in breach of Auticle \& of the Furropean
 lıtџ::"www.dealingerithbeiliifß.co.uk

A debtor can remove right of impliod access by displaying a notice as the entrance. Tris was endorsed by Lard Justice Dunaldson in the case uf Lambert * Robe:ts $\lceil 1981\rceil$ T2 Ce ApF R 223 - unci placine such a notice is akin to a closed door bul it alse prevenls a bailifl cutcring the gardea or driveway, Knox $v$ Audertoa [1983] Crim [R 115 or R $v$ Iemy Roberts [20n3] FWC:A Crim 2753

Leblors cant also remove implied riẹht of aceess te property by telling̣ him to lease: Duvis v Lisle [1936] 2 KB 434 similarly, MeArde w Wallace [1964] 108 Sol Jo 483
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A persian havigg heen told to leave is now under a duty to withdraw from the property with all due reasonable speed and failure to do se he is not thereafler acting in the exeention of his duty and bowomes at tresplaser with any subsequent lesy made being invalid and attraces a liability under a ciaim for danages, Morris y Beardmore 1980171 (rApp 256.

Bailifs camot forve their way into a private dwelling, Grove v Easern Gas [1952] I KB 77
Fxeessive torce musc be avoided, Gregory v Hall |1799|\& TR 299 or Oakes v Wood |1837| 2 M\& W 791
 * Morris [1813] 4 Taunt 821. Polkinworne v Whight [1845] 805 19?. Another ocupier of the premises ur an employee may also take these steps: Hall v Davis [1875] $\operatorname{[K} \& \mathrm{P}$ 33.

Alzo wrongful wrould he an attempt at forcihle entry despite resistunce, Ingele v Bell [ $1 \times 36$ ] 1 Mix W 516
Bailiffs cannot apply force to a dour to gain entey, and if he does so he is net in the execution of his duty, Benghton y Wikerson [18NO|44 JP 7K1

A Bailif may not encourage a third purly to allow the builiT nevests to a properly (ie workmen insice a wouse). uccess by this means renders the culry undawlul, Nash : Lucas [1867] 2 QB 590

The dehtor's home and all buileings within the loundary of the premises are protected againgt farcodentry, Munroe \& Mumes v Wuodspeing Lhistrict Cunneil [1979] Weston-Super-Mare Conmly Cemrt

A Bailill miy not encounge a thind parly to allow tae bailill acocss to a properly (ie workmen inside a house), access by th s mean 5 renders the contry unlawtinl, Nash y lucas |I: $67 \mid 2$ QR 590

Cuntruxt: A buil: ft may climb over a wall wr a tence or walk astoss a garden or yard prowidad that no danapge oceurs, Iong v Clarke 出 another [1894] 1 QR I 19

It is not enntempt to assault a bailiff trying te climh over a locked gate after being refused entry, I ewis vowen [1893] The Times Nuvember $6 p .3$ bb (QBD)

If a bail fre enters by force he is there unlawrilly and you can reat him as a wespasser. Curlew's v laurie [1848] or Vaughan v McK cntic [1W, $)^{2} 1$ (QH 55

A deblor carman be sued if a persun enters a property unimilec and ingures himself became he had no legal right to enter. Great Central Railway Co v Bates [1921] 3 KB 578

C\&G. AC\&G. ONC. INC. MCP. MCPI I. MCSE. REAPan Lepal. Attornsy at Law. No Assured Valuc. No Liability No Errers and
 Omissions Fxecpted. All Rigita Reservec.
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If a bail:frijams his hoot into a debters door to stop him closing: any levy thet is suhsoquently made is mot valid: Rai \& Rui v Bimminghem Cily Council [1993] or Yaughan v MoKenzie [1969] 1 QB 55 ? or Broughten v Filkersen [1880] 11 JP 781

If a bail: $\int$ 'refuses to leave he property after being reyuested to do so ur stirts tryitger to force entry then he is canaing a disturbans, Howell v lackzon [1834] 6 ( $\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{P} 723$ - but it is unreasonably for a golice otfieer to arrest the bailiff unless he makes a threat, libhy v Cumstable of Issex |21010| Conrt of Appeal April 20010.

The very presenee of the Railiff o thit part company who is engaged in a recognisec Act of war is an assault on the castle and :t is reasmuble for the police uffiver to armel the beiliff where there is a rechernised Act of war. If the police oflicer cues nut arrest the Bailifi un request then the pulice oliticer is guilty by delinult of att ollence against legislation which is the oftence of Malfeasance in a public oftice. The police ofticer is also guilty by defaul of an act of fruud as he is on duty and being puid for his inaelion. The penulty under legrisition for these offences are as follows. 25 gears" incarceration Dor the olleuce of Malkassuce in a public ollice and 7 to 10 yanrs' incancralion for the offence of tiand under current legisiation for which the police office is culpable.

Wathout ill will or vexation.
I or and on behalf uf the principal legal embodiment by the tille of MR DAVILI WARD For and on behalfof the amomey General of the House of Wane For ance on behalf of: Baron I)avid of the House of Ware: All Rights Reserved

C\&G. AC\&G. ONC. INC. MCP. MCPI I. MCSE. RBAPan Lepal. Artornsy at Law. No Assured Valuc. No Liability No Errers and
 Omisnions Fxecptox. All Rigity Reservec.
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## LEGAL NOTICE TO BAII.IFFi or thivd Party Company,

## NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL AND NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGEXT - PPIIES

## DO NOT IGNORF THIS NOTICF GNNORIVG; THIS VOTICF WII.I. HAYFCONCFQUFNCFS

## DOIEK OF REMCOVAL, OF IMPIIEA RIGHT OF ACCESS FROM TIIIS TIME FORWARI AND IN PERPETLITY

Daron Davil wi the Iluase of WARD dereby tives notice that the implied righ of access to the properly knewa is 115 Slarer Streer. larkhtord Warringron. [WM 1DW]. And surrounding areas: Along with all associared properry including, but not limited to, any privale conveyance, in repeot of the following:

Please also rake notice that the land knowrn as Fingland has recognised historie craditions and any transgress on of this notiee will te dealt with according to the traditions of this fand where it is reengnised that am linglishman's Ifouse is his Castle and any transgressions upon that property is also a recegnised Act of War. It is recognised tatat a state of war has bean declaroxt hy ym, let batte eommence.
i. a man who has a recognised scatus by natural descent aceording to the taditions of this land beine Baron David of the House af Ward claim incefeasible Right ofs solt-detence, and to protect the House nt Ward tamily Cazrle and the: coulents therein but nut dimited to, and survandimg areas.

Any transgressions, will be dealt with using any force doemed noessery at the diserction of the Houst of Ward. Yon have bern given legal warning. Youn personal salely and the salely ol any agents mbly be compromised if you ignore this legal warning. No quarter given.
 All natural and Inalicnable Rights Reserved as recognisod by the histor:c traditions of this land.

You lave been served LEGAL NOTLCE
Without ill will or vexetion.
For and on hehalf of the principal legal embodiment by the title of WR IDAVIIS WARIS For and on behalf of the attomey Gereral of the IJouse of Warc: For ane on bibill ol: Binun David of the House ol' Wiac All Rirhis Reserved

C\&G. AC\&G. ONC. JNC. MCP. MCPI I. MCSE. RBA.Pan Legal. Attomsy at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability No Errers and
 Omissions Fxecptsid. All Rigits Reservec.
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## Exhibit (H)

## The Hypocrisy of the Secret Ballot Elective Process.
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Do we really have a valid electen proeess? I Covemment trin y goveriment by the people tor the people? Are we al members of the public? What are the known observable Fects?

## What is an election?

An eleation is where the paple elect into atfiee the representatives they wish to represent then into leeal puwerment and then Parlizment. Everybody knows that, we have been doing this for dexades. The coneept is that we elect of vurselves and thal is self govermanent by the people lio the people, it is ubvious any kool can see that. The people eloet of themselves and then the poxple tell the loeal government what they want and the local government pass this funsuad tu the central govermment and therefore we have gravenument by the people for the peuple and all is well. Is this really what happens"?

## Secret Ballot

Is this a valid frocess? Woll wo do bave a chsiee ot all the elocted vonurillors. Is this a weal choice? The firse questicu would be as bo where be he box of place the: "X" in that states "Rione of the abowe"; Srange hoo his ontior is no presem on the

 Mo ur Ms " $\chi$ ", who has boled irt a wermel haslut.

 This is aller alle : SECRET Bublou.

 accountabilisy: Hox can the slected prove by preseating physicul evidence that :ley have been elected"? Where is the fublic


Can our curcne P Fime Minister present the material svidenes of the fact that he has been electec: No If Cannot.

## The un-election Process.

 milion People can tell or know wilat the un-clocrion process is! How' is this representative of the people's chocce? The fact is
 paple for the panple where there s no known proces in un-slec: an officer of the sate?

## The Public and the Priyate.

It is a general consensus of opinion that :he posple of this land ate the public. Is this cotrect'? No. it is not. Guly those in
 An opinion is not fact. A belief is not fact. Se is a geveral cense-sus of opiniol a fact? No, it is an opinion. We heve seavehed al:


C\&G. AC\&G. ONC. JNC. MCP. MCPI I. MCSE. RBA.Pan Legal. Atromsy at Laws. No Assured Value. No Liability No Errers and
 Omissions Fxecpstex. All Rigite Reservec.
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 padesaians do not obstruer the pull le foot path.

We have sleotad great difficulty fincing the gueers highway. I: is a eommor held belief that we tave the right to froe travel
 hoping to cosate this quecu's highway, as if it has the right to fiec cravel tion we conld rravel this quean's highway withont any





So is there such a thing as a publie wad" This public wow would be a public toend it it was a designatod publi: road only for the
 and spee:fcelly for the purpose of the puillic use" The majority of the poople are private individuals who are aot paid from the

 university a ad the defin tion of a stat: by the London School $a^{\circ}$ Eeonomics that a stase is a private compeny. See Exhibit ic) The
 of opinon is onee again incorrect and thece is mos such thing as peblie. This is onse agsin a belief and no: a fact.

So do we have a valid election process and does this have any valid credibility.
Quite s.mply the answer is No. Let us sum 4 the facts.

- There is no un elec fon proces
- Only Mir and Mrs "X" bave voted (No uccoundibility)
* There is no marctial eridene to presert on and for the publie record that thene has besn an slection. INo accountability .






 the people?
The answer is. No we do not,


## These are the facts on and for the record.

Whant ill will or vevetion,
For and on behalf of the Principal leqal embondment hy the tite of MK DAVIID (WARI). For and en bedall of the atterncy Geucial of the Huse of Wiac For and on be alf of Baron David of the House of Ward All rights rexurved.

C\&G. AC\&G. ONC. JNC. MCP. MCPI I. MCSE. RBA.Pan Legal. Attomsy at Law. No Assured Value. No Liability No Errers and
 Omissions Fxecptex. All Rigite Reservec.


## To: dawn.butler.mp@parliament.uk

Our Reference: HOH --dawn butler --HOHO361
To the following by email.
weekly@guardian.co.uk, sb@buildbookbuzz.com, info@nngroup.com, pressoffice@liberty.co.uk, letters.editor@ft.com, weekly@guardian.co.uk, letters@theherald.co.uk, letters@newscientist.com, letters@newsweek.com, publishing@prospect-magazine.co.uk, editors@readersdigest.co.uk, mailbox@sundaymail.co.uk, post@dcthomson.co.uk, stletters@telegraph.co.uk, editor@sunday-times.co.uk, letters@sunday-times.co.uk, letters@guardian.co.uk mailbox@mirror.co.uk, letters@observer.co.uk, talkback@the-sun.co.uk letters@the-times.co.uk, letters@time.com, info@bbc.co.uk, editor@itn.co.uk, cnnlondon@turner.com, editor@anphoblacht.com, news@irish-times.com, feedback@online.ie, info@swp.ie,

This is a formal Notification of the following.
There is a formal and civil obligation to publish this public notice.
This is a notice of a formal and agreed lien by way of a resolution for the criminal offences of Fraud and Malfeasance in the office of claimant of MISS dawn butler.

Public Notice

NOTICE that I, Baroness Yvonne of the House of Hobbs, have an Affidavit of Obligation - Security by way of a lien against, and therefore an interest in, the personal estate MISS dawn butler in the position of Member of Parliament for HM Parliaments and Governments Company/State. For the amount of fifteen million GBP $£ 15,000,000.00$ This is a formally published legal securitised commercial instrument in PDF format at Record location: https://www.facebook.com/groups/798269636907862/files/

End of Notice
Without ill-will or vexation.

